



editor Banknyt <banknyt@gmail.com>

I ask you and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions. - My questions are about whether the Danes have some legal certainty or whether Danish economic societal interests are above legal certainty. Please answer.

Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>

14. januar 2023 kl. 16.09

Til: Justitsministeriet <jm@jm.dk>, Statsministeriet <stm@stm.dk>

Cc: finansilsynet@ftnet.dk, Kommunikation <kommunikation@nationalbanken.dk>, direktion@jyskebank.dk, martin.nielsen@jyskebank.dk, juridisk@jyskebank.dk,

lm@jyskebank.dk, Morten Ulrik Gade <MUG@jyskebank.dk>, REU@ft.dk, Folketingets Oplysning <folketinget@ft.dk>, fm@fm.dk, SAK@ankl.dk, saok@ankl.dk

Bcc: banknyt@gmail.com

To
Ministry of Justice in Denmark
Slotsholmsgade 10.
1216 Copenhagen K-

Tel. 72 26 84 00
Email: jm@jm.dk

Att Justice Minister Peter Hummelgaard

I ask you and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions.

Question No. 1.

If a law firm conceals not just one pleading, but if a law firm chooses to conceal multiple pleadings from their client.

**And the lawyer firm also does not have to hand over prescriptions,
even if the client requests it.**

Is this a violation of good legal practice or is it not a violation of good legal practice.

Question No. 2.

A client who hires a law firm has no claim to know, what their lawyers present in the client's case, which is why lawyers do not need to respond to their client's inquiries.

right or wrong?.

Is this a violation of good legal practice or is it not a violation of good legal practice.

The Ministry of Justice is Denmark's highest authority and is also requested to answer this question 3.

Is the Ministry of Justice sure that the Bar Council is independent and that no member has a hidden agenda, such as perhaps being able to cover up someone or something, if the economic consequences for society are of such a magnitude, how for the bar council can weigh the economic interests higher than legal certainty.

Question No. 3

Question 3. is a hypothetical question, but I request that the Ministry of Justice also answer this question with a simple

Yes or No.

The answers of the Ministry of Justice and the Minister of Justice are included in the book I am writing about corruption and legal certainty in Denmark.

My 3 questions are based on the following written on the website of the Ministry of Justice.

**The bar council is an independent complaints board that deals with complaints about lawyers.
The board's decisions cannot be appealed to another administrative authority.**

When complaints that have been dealt with in the Bar Council cannot be dealt with elsewhere, I want to investigate in more detail whether the aforementioned may be governed by cronyism, i.e. corruption.

Which is why I am personally asking, and requesting the Ministry of Justice at the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to confirm.

That which the lawyer committee and Supreme Court judge Kurt Rasmussen and the committee agree on, with reference to my questions.

Does the Ministry of Justice agree that the client has hired a law firm to conduct a case. At all have no claim or any right to be served with pleadings in their cases.

And that it is only the lawyer who decides what their client may be handed over in their case, just as it is only the lawyer who always decides whether their client may present in the cases the client has hired the law firm to handle. [i ask in a mail to Justitsministeriet Denmark jm@jm.dk](mailto:jm@jm.dk)

Please read the attached document dated 14-01-2023. and please answer if there is anything The Minister of Justice believes has gone wrong in some authorities for accusations against Jyske Bank for fraud and the lack of investigation, and lack of answers in such outrageous accusations.

Since you have not replied earlier, I have shared my frustrations but others, everything I have is also useful for my book, which the shared document says everything about.

Shares with the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority and also Jyske Bank as they have something they want to say, that my position is that Jyske Bank does not have a right to have a license to conduct banking business in Denmark.

If you have any questions before answering my 3 questions, you can call and arrange a meeting on n+4522227713.

This is a serious matter, so I ask you not to make such frivolous accusations.

Since I believe that money that Jyske Bank has earned through fraud and that Jyske Bank has taken as income, money laundering is actually money earned from organized crime.

What do you say to that, ?.

And I request the Ministry of Justice to please inform the management of Jyske Bank that I have proposed that CEO Anders Christian Dam set his mandate free, as I do not find that CEO Anders Dam is a worthy leader of a company that has a lending business of around DKK 546,000,000,000

As you can see a few authorities, this is to draw attention to a problem and the scandal it is to cover up Jyske Bank's fraud.

Since Jyske Bank does not want to answer me, and has not itself shown a desire for a dialogue and to try to find a solution, I am instead writing my book about Denmark's problem of the authorities opposing justice for those who, like me, are exposed for a bank fraud.

Have a nice weekend.

Regards

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

**Søvej 5.
3100 Hornbæk.**

carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com

004522227713

 **14-01-2023. kl. 15.15. Til Jyske Bank koncernen 0g myndighederne Kopi www.banknyt.dk for gennemgang og evt. rettelser..pdf**
17626K