

editor Banknyt <banknyt@gmail.com>

Since the Ministry of Justice has not previously wanted to answer or comment on some emails or letters with various information, Jyske Bank's many criminal offenses were dealt with. So I write here and make it easy for the Minister of Justice to answer, I ask the Minister of Justice and the ministry to answer within 5 days to question 1. Whether it is a violation of good legal practice when a law firm withholds 1. or more pleadings from their client, as the client has no right to know what is presented in their own cases.

Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>

Til: Justitsministeriet <jm@jm.dk>, Statsministeriet <stm@stm.dk>, lars.loekke@ft.dk, jakob.ellemann-jensen@ft.dk

15. januar 2023 kl. 03.22

Cc: finanstilsynet@ftnet.dk, Kommunikation <kommunikation@nationalbanken.dk>, direktion@jyskebank.dk, martin.nielsen@jyskebank.dk, juridisk@jyskebank.dk, Im@jyskebank.dk, Morten Ulrik Gade <MUG@jyskebank.dk>, REU@ft.dk, Folketingets Oplysning <folketinget@ft.dk>, fm@fm.dk, SAK@ankl.dk, saoek@ankl.dk, kf@nationalbanken.dk, em@em.dk, oem@oem.dk, redaktionen@altinget.dk Bcc: banknyt@gmail.com

To Ministry of Justice in Denmark Slotsholmsgade 10. 1216 Copenhagen K-

Tel. 72 26 84 00 Email: jm@jm.dk

Att Justice Minister Peter Hummelgaard and The government.

Mette Frederiksen, Lars Løkke Rasmussen and Jacob Ellemann.

I ask you Peter Hummelgaard and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions.

I hereby request that the government, the State Ministry and Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen take care to share this email with the entire parliament, i.e. all the 179 politicians who are members. MF.

Since the question here deals with legal certainty.

1.

And whether Danes' legal certainty comes before the state's financial interests, ?.

2.

Or whether the State's financial interests come before the Danes' legal security. ?.

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/3/?ik = ef5706 ecfc & view = pt & search = all & permmsgid = msg-f% 3A 1755053441472371356 & simpl = msg-f% 3A 1755056 & simpl = msg-f% 3A 1755053441472371356 & simpl = msg-f% 3A 1755056 & simpl = msg-

This question is important, also for my mentioned book, and it is finally answered by the Ministry of Justice answering the first 1 of the 3 questions in the email as here.

?

One of the things I say is to stop the Danish state, including the FSA, from covering up Jyske Bank's fraud, and the FSA withdraws Jyske Bank's license to conduct financial business in Denmark, after that Jyske Bank's shares will fall sharply, and Jyske Bank will be in a real risk of going bankrupt, that is why I ask whether the Danes' legal security comes before or after the economic interests of the state and parliament.

I am satisfied that the Ministry of Justice, will answer this first question, in the coming week, before Friday 20 January 2023.

Then the Ministry can answer the next 2 questions in the future on 27 January,

I expect that it is not to burden the Ministry of Justice when I write about something that is really about legal certainty and what power the Ministry of Justice wants to give Danish lawyers, through the legal community.

I får den både på dansk og Google oversat til engelsk.

Jeg vil gerne have at Justitsministeriet sammen med justitsministeren, svare på først det ene og første spørgsmål, hvilket må være nemt, jeg har tidligere skrevet og spurgt advokat samfundet, og Advokatrådet der ikke vil svare.

Da advokat samfundet ikke mener det kommer offentligheden ved, hvordan advokat samfundet har vedtaget at danske advokater der er medlem af advokat samfundet ikke behøver at udlevere nogle processkrifter til deres klienter.

Det er ihvertfald ikke en overtrædelse af god advokatskik når en dansk advokat tilbage holder og skjuler begge parter i en sags processkrifter overfor klienten.

Hvis Justitsministeriet er uenig i ovenstående, må finde sted i Danmark, der udadtil selv via Justitsministeriet påstår at have stærke retsprincipper, som at sikker danskernes retssikkerhed.

Så memer jeg at advokatnævnet og advokatsamfundet har store problemer med at fortolke retsplejelovens regler omhandlede, hvad der er god advokat skik.

Jeg anmoder at Justitsministeriet og Justitsministeren svare.

Ja eller Nej på dette første spørgsmål, om en advokat gerne må tilbageholde processkrifter overfor klienten.

Jeg henleder jeres ministerium om at jeg har skrevet både klientens og modpartens processkrifter.

15.01.2023 16.14

Gmail - Since the Ministry of Justice has not previously wanted to answer or comment on some emails or letters with various information, Jyske Bank's many criminal offenses were dealt with. So ...

engelsk.

You will get it both in Danish and Google translated into English.

I would like the Ministry of Justice, together with the Minister of Justice, to answer first and foremost the first question, which should be easy, I have previously written and asked the legal community, and the Bar Council will not answer.

Since the bar association does not think so, the public knows how the bar association has decided that Danish lawyers who are members of the bar association do not need to hand over any pleadings to their clients.

In any case, it is not a breach of good legal practice when a Danish lawyer withholds and hides both parties in a case's pleadings from the client.

If the Ministry of Justice disagrees with the above, it must take place in Denmark, which externally even via the Ministry of Justice claims to have strong legal principles, such as ensuring the legal security of the Danes.

So I think that the bar council and the bar association have big problems with interpreting the rules of the administration of justice concerning what constitutes good lawyer practice.

I request that the Ministry of Justice and the Minister of Justice respond.

Yes or No to this first question, whether a lawyer may withhold pleadings from the client.

I inform your ministry that I have written both the client's and the opposing party's pleadings.

https://youtu.be/KVdjpo4idRs

The video was recorded on Saturday 14 January 2023 and was made directly for the Ministry of Justice and the Minister of Justice, so I hope I have made myself understood.

It was recorded at Jyske Bank in Helsingø, where Nicolai Hansen and Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen for Jyske Bank A/S started fraud against my small business and thus me.

I would like to have a solution with Jyske Bank, but they have not wanted to talk to me, which is the reason why I am writing to those I intend to write about in a book that deals with Jyske Bank's financial crimes which the Danish State is covering up.

Again, I request all recipients of this email to accept my offer to be interviewed for use in my book, also to be able to correct any misunderstandings.

I only want to write the truth about Jyske Bank's business methods and how the Danish state, including judges and lawyers, is directly involved in covering up Jyske Bank's criminal activities.

Until I get a solution with those involved, and that Jyske Bank gets them fired is the reason I am writing, I will not stop writing that Jyske Bank is a criminal organization that should be closed.

But I have written and said it before, Jyske Bank can ask me to stop writing, and then Jyske Bank and I could clean up together, but that requires Jyske Bank to want peace.

🤯 🛸 🤒

If you cannot understand what I write and say, then you must call and ask me.

You know that I have written repeatedly to the State Ministry, the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority and the National Bank, requesting permission to present my claims with evidence that Jyske Bank has violated a number of laws and regulations, I want to write the Ministry of Justice with my requests .

Why am I here officially requesting the Ministry of Justice to personally have a meeting where I can present my claims and evidence that Jyske Bank are criminals, I sent a box of documents in May 2019, to which the Ministry of Justice has not responded.

And remember that silence is just a sign that something is seriously wrong, you can still try to correct, solve the problem and fix any mistakes.

kopy.

Question No. 2.

A client who hires a law firm has no claim to know, what their lawyers present in the client's case, which is why lawyers do not need to respond to their client's inquiries.

right or wrong?.

Is this a violation of good legal practice or is it not a violation of good legal practice.

The Ministry of Justice is Denmark's highest authority and is also requested to answer this question 3.

Is the Ministry of Justice sure that the Bar Council is independent and that no member has a hidden agenda, such as perhaps being able to cover up someone or something, if the economic consequences for society are of such a magnitude, how for the bar council can weigh the economic interests higher than legal certainty.

Question No. 3

Question 3. is a hypothetical question, but I request that the Ministry of Justice also answer this question with a simple Yes or No.

The answers of the Ministry of Justice and the Minister of Justice are included in the book I am writing about corruption and legal certainty in Denmark.

My 3 questions are based on the following written on the website of the Ministry of Justice.

The bar council is an independent complaints board that deals with complaints about lawyers. The board's decisions cannot be appealed to another administrative authority.

When complaints that have been dealt with in the Bar Council cannot be dealt with elsewhere, I want to investigate in more detail whether the aforementioned may be governed by cronyism, i.e. corruption.

Which is why I am personally asking, and requesting the Ministry of Justice at the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to confirm.

That which the lawyer committee and Supreme Court judge Kurt Rasmussen and the committee agree on, with reference to my questions.

Does the Ministry of Justice agree that the client has hired a law firm to conduct a case. At all have no claim or any right to be served with pleadings in their cases.

And that it is only the lawyer who decides what their client may be handed over in their case, just as it is only the lawyer who always decides whether their client may present in the cases the client has hired the law firm to handle. i ask in a mail to Justitsministeriet Denmark jm@jm.dk

Again have a nice weekend, "Sunday."

Regards

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

Søvej 5. 3100 Hornbæk.

carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com

004522227713

http://banknyt.dk/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/14-01-2023.-kl.-15.15.-Til-Jyske-Bank-koncernen-0g-myndighederne-Kopi-www.banknyt.dk-for-gennemgang-og-evt.-rettelser..pdf

http://banknyt.dk/04-01-2023-here-at-banknyt-you-will-find-here-the-total-shared-documents-that-have-been-shared-to-one-extent-or-another-over-the-years-from-2016-to-2023documents-are-both-in-danish-and-english/

lør. 14. jan. 2023 16.09 skrev Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>:

I ask you and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions. - My questions are about whether the Danes have some legal certainty or whether Danish economic societal interests are above legal certainty. Please answer.

To Ministry of Justice in Denmark Slotsholmsgade 10. 1216 Copenhagen K- Tel. 72 26 84 00 Email: jm@jm.dk

Att Justice Minister Peter Hummelgaard

I ask you and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions.

Question No. 1.

If a law firm conceals not just one pleading, but if a law firm chooses to conceal multiple pleadings from their client.

And the lawyer firm also does not have to hand over prescriptions,

even if the client requests it.

Is this a violation of good legal practice or is it not a violation of good legal practice.

Question No. 2.

A client who hires a law firm has no claim to know, what their lawyers present in the client's case, which is why lawyers do not need to respond to their client's inquiries.

right or wrong?.

Is this a violation of good legal practice or is it not a violation of good legal practice.

The Ministry of Justice is Denmark's highest authority and is also requested to answer this question 3.

Is the Ministry of Justice sure that the Bar Council is independent and that no member has a hidden agenda, such as perhaps being able to cover up someone or something, if the economic consequences for society are of such a magnitude, how for the bar council can weigh the economic interests higher than legal certainty.

Question No. 3

Question 3. is a hypothetical question, but I request that the Ministry of Justice also answer this question with a simple

Yes or No.

The answers of the Ministry of Justice and the Minister of Justice are included in the book I am writing about corruption and legal certainty in Denmark.

My 3 questions are based on the following written on the website of the Ministry of Justice.

The bar council is an independent complaints board that deals with complaints about lawyers. The board's decisions cannot be appealed to another administrative authority.

When complaints that have been dealt with in the Bar Council cannot be dealt with elsewhere, I want to investigate in more detail whether the aforementioned may be governed by cronyism, i.e. corruption.

Which is why I am personally asking, and requesting the Ministry of Justice at the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to confirm.

That which the lawyer committee and Supreme Court judge Kurt Rasmussen and the committee agree on, with reference to my questions.

Does the Ministry of Justice agree that the client has hired a law firm to conduct a case. At all have no claim or any right to be served with pleadings in their cases.

And that it is only the lawyer who decides what their client may be handed over in their case, just as it is only the lawyer who always decides whether their client may present in the cases the client has hired the law firm to handle. i ask in a mail to Justitsministeriet Denmark jm@jm.dk

Please read the attached document dated 14-01-2023. and please answer if there is anything The Minister of Justice believes has gone wrong in some authorities for accusations against Jyske Bank for fraud and the lack of investigation, and lack of answers in such outrageous accusations.

Since you have not replied earlier, I have shared my frustrations but others, everything I have is also useful for my book, which the shared document says everything about.

Shares with the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority

and also Jyske Bank as they have something they want to say, that my position is that Jyske Bank does not have a right to have a license to conduct banking business in Denmark.

If you have any questions before answering my 3 questions, you can call and arrange a meeting on n+4522227713.

This is a serious matter, so I ask you not to make such frivolous accusations.

Since I believe that money that Jyske Bank has earned through fraud and that Jyske Bank has taken as income, money laundering is actually money earned from organized crime.

What do you say to that, ?.

And I request the Ministry of Justice to please inform the management of Jyske Bank that I have proposed that CEO Anders Christian Dam set his mandate free, as I do not find that CEO Anders Dam is a worthy leader of a company that has a lending business of around DKK 546,000,000

As you can see a few authorities, this is to draw attention to a problem and the scandal it is to cover up Jyske Bank's fraud.

Since Jyske Bank does not want to answer me, and has not itself shown a desire for a dialogue and to try to find a solution, I am instead writing my book about Denmark's problem of the authorities opposing justice for those who, like me, are exposed for a bank fraud.

Have a nice weekend.

Regards

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

Søvej 5. 3100 Hornbæk.

carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com

004522227713