



editor Banknyt <banknyt@gmail.com>

For use in a complaint against the Danish state for past violations of human rights. I request that both the chairman "advokatsamfund" who is a partner in DLA Piper lawyers. Martin Lavesen signs. And that the Chairman "advokatnæv." Supreme Court Judge Ole Hasselgaard signs, on each other's copy.

Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>

30. januar 2023 kl. 21.30

Til: Postkasse - Klagesagsafdelingen <klagesagsafdelingen@advokatsamfundet.dk>, postkasse@advokatsamfundet.dk

Cc: Statsministeriet <stm@stm.dk>, Justitsministeriet <jm@jm.dk>, fm@fm.dk, REU@ft.dk, Folketingets Oplysning <folketinget@ft.dk>, Jura og Forretning <jur@domstolsstyrelsen.dk>, SAK@ankl.dk, saоек@ankl.dk, Kommunikation <kommunikation@nationalbanken.dk>, kf@nationalbanken.dk, finanstillsynet@ftnet.dk, em@em.dk, redaktionen@altinget.dk, direktion@jyskebank.dk, lm@jyskebank.dk, martin.nielsen@jyskebank.dk, Morten Ulrik Gade <MUG@jyskebank.dk>, juridisk@jyskebank.dk, ean@naevneneshus.dk, hoejsgaard@jyskebank.dk, ahk@jyskebank.dk, avw@jyskebank.dk, bpa@jyskebank.dk, dip@jyskebank.dk, heidi.skovbjerg@jyskebank.dk, helle-hansen@jyskebank.dk, pborowy@jyskebank.dk, pka@jyskebank.dk, bfr@jyskebank.dk, hcn@jyskebank.dk, jane-rabek@jyskebank.dk, jmad@jyskebank.dk, makn@jyskebank.dk, mfriis@jyskebank.dk, stefan.klit@jyskebank.dk, skn@jyskebank.dk, tijo@jyskebank.dk, benny-pedersen@jyskebank.dk, birger-nielsen@jyskebank.dk, bac@jyskebank.dk, ctm@jyskerekredit.dk, gadeberg@jyskebank.dk, eqh@jyskebank.dk, erling.kristensen@jyskebank.dk, fbk@jyskebank.dk, hbm@jyskebank.dk, jes.rosendal@jyskebank.dk, naur@jyskefinans.dk, noerbo@jyskebank.dk, sandberg@jyskebank.dk, prp@jyskebank.dk, rune@jyskebank.dk, sej@jyskebank.dk, sebastian.dyg.eriksen@jyskebank.dk, nationalbanken@nationalbanken.dk, jakob.ellemann-jensen@ft.dk, mac@jyskebank.dk, lbj@jyskebank.dk, lillevang@jyskebank.dk, johnny.christensen@jyskebank.dk, clm@jyskebank.dk, beo@cbs-executive.dk, ala@70151000.dk, rina.asmussen@gmail.com, kn@skovadvokater.dk, nicolai-hansen@jyskebank.dk, Casper Dam Olsen <Casper-dam@jyskebank.dk>, jkh@jyskebank.dk, kirkeby@jyskebank.dk, sw@jyskebank.dk, Kristian Ambjørn Buus-Nielsen <kbn@les.dk>, Philip Baruch <pb@les.dk>, nh@naevneneshus.dk, mail@kromannreumert.com, jsj@kromannreumert.com, hhy@danskerhverv.dk, martin.lavesen@dk.dlapiper.com, soeren.pape.poulsen@ft.dk, lars.loekke@ft.dk, pso@nationalbanken.dk, uln@fida.dk, aaj@fida.dk, edl@fida.dk, jkr@fida.dk, kgj@fida.dk, cbe@fida.dk, ibr@fida.dk, afe@fida.dk, dc@em.dk, "Hotline (FT)" <Hotline@ftnet.dk>

Bcc: banknyt@gmail.com

30. januar 2023. mail. time PM 09.30.

To Danish Bar association.

[Kronprinsessegade 28,](#)
[1306 København K.](#)

Martin Lavesen and Ole Hasselgaard

Sorry I happened to send this email from a wrong address that is not used, Carsten Storbjerg <jyskebankdanmark@gmail.com>

Here it is from me and my personal email

I ask Ole Hasselgaard and Martin Lavesen whether they agree with the 6 questions.

afterwards I will ask these mentioned about exactly the same thing.

Jens Steen Jensen from Kromann Reumert lawyers, Birgitte Frølund from Horten lawyers, Kurt Rasmussen from the Supreme Court, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård from the consumer complaints board and Henrik Hyltoft from the Organization Dansk Erhverv, a decision that Martin Lavesen from DLA Piper lawyers agree with.

With reference to the decision mentioned, in the attached document, I hereby request that the board refer to a corresponding decision.

In particular with reference to the decision of no. 2020-1933. and refers to the *that only one lawyer has been complained about*, which shows that the board has not dealt with the complaint carefully.

It is described in the complaint that several employees of Lundgrens together, i.e. in association, have opposed their client after Jyske Bank paid Lundgren's lawyers for this.

Dan Terkildsen. Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen, Emil Hald Windstrøm, Pernille Hellesøe, Jens Grunnet-Nielsson, Mette Marie Nielsen.

In the complaint, 6 employees are mentioned as having worked on orders from Partner Dan Terkildsen, probably as Dan Terkildsen himself has worked on orders from the other partners, a case of consulting for Jyske Bank in a deal for DKK 600,000,000 must have brought Lundgrens a two-digit million amount, i.e. quite a lot of money.

The complaint linked to in the attached document makes it easy to answer, and members can start by confirming these 6 points.

In the case of your members, it will be difficult to disprove, as they themselves have decided that none of these conditions are violations of good legal practice.

But the truth is that Dan Terkildsen did not act alone or in association with several employees, these are aggravating circumstances, which is probably why Dan Terkildsen did not respond to a single one of the 26 calls that the Bar Council also chooses to disregard.

And the board chooses to approve that, Lundgrens shall have DKK 232,000 for not presenting the client's fraud and false allegations against Jyske Bank.

At present, I have no doubt that there is corruption behind the board's decision, unless everyone signs to agree with the board's decision.

And with this both the Minister of Justice, and the Prime Minister must sign, before I will consider writing anything else in my books.

If you otherwise have corrections, I will correct everything that I may have misunderstood, but when it is me alone against corrupt lawyers, a crooked bank and the state that is covering this up, in order to protect my own economic interests, then I can only write about it .

I am implying that the bar association is corrupt, which the board's actions show.

If the members of the board sign that decisions against others will be decided with the same rejection, then I will withdraw my accusation against the bar board of being corrupt.

I request the board to share this email and documents with those who have unanimously and unanimously rejected all complaints.

Then you yourself, can contribute to the investigation of criminal Danish banks and those corruptions that are, used to undermine the legal security of the Danish population.

How will you answer the questions yourself? Which prominent politicians, civil servants and lawyers as well as organizations will receive, with direct reference to how Supreme Court Justice Kurt Rasmussen judges, to give Danish lawyers full power over all clients.

Just to make sure that they are actually the ones who have decided that Lundgrens as a law firm has not violated good legal practice.

And that none of those mentioned believes that Lundgrens in some of the 27 complaints has committed violations of good legal practice, here in the email I have taken out 6 points.

I request that the board present these to those mentioned, for a signature,

If the board or those from the board do not participate, and do not sign that these examples are good legal practice, or that there are simply no violations, this email will be included in a complaint against the Danish State for violating human rights



States with these 6 questions.

I give these 3 answer options, and therefore ask the



I request that both the chairman "advokatsamfund" who is a partner in DLA Piper lawyers. Martin Lavesen

Together with

Supreme Court Judge Ole Hasselgaard



Signs on each other's copy. to each answer with one.

I totally agree.

I completely disagree.

I do not know.

And that for every question.



1.

It is not a violation of good legal practice when.

A lawyer hides and withholds own pleadings from the lawyer's own client.

I totally agree.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____. _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____. _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



2.

It is not a violation of good legal practice when.

A lawyer also does not, on request, provide the client with a copy of the lawyer's own pleadings.

I totally agree.

_____ . / _____ .

Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____ . _____ .

Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____ . _____ .

Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



_____ .

**3.****It is not a violation of good legal practice when.****A lawyer hides and withholds the other party's pleadings from the lawyer's own client.****I totally agree.**

_____ . / _____ .

Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____ . _____ .

Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



4.

It is not a violation of good legal practice when.

When a lawyer withholds parts of the court record from the lawyer's own client.

I totally agree.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



**5.****It is not a violation of good legal practice when.****When a lawyer does not present the client's claims in the client's case, and at the same time does not respond to the client's inquiries, what the client says is important and must be presented in court.****I totally agree.**

_____ . / _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____ . _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____ . _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

**6.****It is not a violation of good legal practice when.****When a law firm works for both the plaintiff and defendant at the same time, as long as it does not happen in the same case.****Why a law firm A. that has been tasked with presenting a fraudulent and false case against the defendant B. and Subsequently at the same time, the same law firm A. may well give B. counseling in a transaction of around DKK 600,000,000. without it is being a breach of good legal practice.**

I totally agree.

_____. / _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____. _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____. _____.
Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



At the same time, I am bringing my earlier requests to the bar council, where I request that the board confirm that none of these examples are violations of good legal practice and that these rules are the same for everyone in Denmark.

- 1. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that it is the lawyers alone who decide what a client may be presented with in terms of allegations and pleas.**
- 2. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that it is the lawyers alone who decide which evidence a client may have presented for the client's claims and pleas.**

3. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: for the lawyer to change the client's claim, if a client claims something that is untrue, false or invalid, then the lawyer is free to change the client's claim to the opposite.**
4. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not respond to the client's inquiries.**
5. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share the plaintiff's pleadings with the client.**
6. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share the defendant's pleadings with the client.**
7. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share all court records with the client.**
8. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: that lawyers do not give the client a copy of all court records, even if the client requests the lawyer to do so.**
9. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: that lawyers do not give the client a copy of all court records, even if the client requests both the lawyer and the court to do so. "Which happened by requesting the court for access to documents in his case against Jyske Bank A/S as Lundgren's lawyers would not hand over to the client a copy of all court records and pleadings."**
10. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: that even if lawyers have confirmed orally, "or on the record" to their clients, that these lawyers do not present anything to the court without the client's complete agreement with the lawyer, lawyers may subsequently present allegations, which is not comparable to the client's claims.**
11. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: For lawyers to change the client's pleadings, even if the client has written to the lawyer, you must not present anything to the court without my "client" having approved it.**
12. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers change the client's pleadings, even without informing the client. In other words, lawyers do not have to share anything that the lawyer presents.**
13. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers call witnesses other than those the client has said, even without informing the client about it.**
14. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: for lawyers to remove the client's witnesses, even without informing the client.**
15. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers make a mess of spelling when presenting annexes.**
16. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers mislead the client.**
17. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: For lawyers to write services on a client, such as taxi bills on a client, even if it does not concern the client.**

18. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not answer the client's questions concerning questions about whether other lawyers from the same law office may have worked for the same company against which the client's lawyer is employed to present a fraudulent and false case.**
19. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not answer their clients' questions, and that the lawyer then takes a fee / payment for not answering what their clients ask.**
20. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: For lawyers to hide from their clients that the court has written to the lawyer, the court will disregard the client's own written testimony, with the client's claims and annexes that support the client's explanation, which the client himself sent to the court , after the lawyer himself forgot to present the client's claims.**
21. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: It is a criminal offense for a lawyer to encourage a client to continue to carry out actions that the opposing party's lawyer in a case has written to the client's lawyer.**
22. **It is not a violation of good legal practice: For a lawyer to withhold letters from the client in which the client is accused of committing a criminal offense, since lawyers do not need to inform the client that the client is accused of violating the criminal law.**
23. **It is not a breach of good legal practice: breaking confidentiality in legal matters, not hiding email addresses that have been sent to the BBC. and which the client wants hidden.**

None of the 23 above examples are is not a violation of good legal practice when.

I totally agree.

_____ . / _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I completely disagree.

_____ . _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

I do not know.

_____ . _____ .
 Write your name in legible writing. / And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.



I request that both the chairman "advokatsamfund" who is a partner in DLA Piper lawyers. Martin Lavesen signs. And that the Chairman "advokatnæv." Supreme Court Judge Ole Hasselgaard signs, on each other's copy.

If they agree or disagree with the 23 examples, or you can write that you know nothing about it.

I thank you for your cooperation and have received a signed document from each of you at the meeting.

A failure to appear will be regarded as helping to cover up Jyske Bank's fraud and that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgrens not to present the client's fraud and false accusations against Jyske Bank A/S

This document and your answer will be able to be avoided in the complaint against the Danish State, as mentioned in the judgment document, and earlier emails.

I have tried to talk to everyone but no one wants to talk to me.

And tries to make me tired by intruding on all my inquiries, which only supports my suspicions that there is corruption and abuse of power to cover up both Jyske Bank and also Lundgren's lawyers.

**Copy to.
The Prime Minister's Office.**

**The Danish Parliament and the Government
[Prins Jørgens Gård 1.](#)
1218 Copenhagen.
Denmark.**

Prime minister Mette Frederiksen.

And

The Ministry of Justice Denmark

Slotsholmsgade 10

1216 Copenhagen.

Denmark.

Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard

And a few others who are concerned but who are also passive, like Jyske Bank.

Have attached the complaint and response as well as the decision together with the letter, which can be found shared on www.banknyt.dk

If anyone wants to talk to me about this, I could get rid of all these emails.

You must know that I do not want this war, but without dialogue and conversation, I will do the only thing I can, namely write and collect materials for my books and a possible complaint.

Kind regards

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

Soevej 5.

Hornbaek

Phone +4522227713

Mail.

banknyt@gmail.comcarsten.storbjerg@gmail.com

Letter.

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0?ui=2&ik=51894f5694&attid=0.7&permmsgid=msg-a:r1667424446800699930&view=att&disp=safe&realattid=f_ldj8vyun7

30-01-2023. Letter I am in the process of ascertaining how bad it is with corruption in the Danish state and corruption among the Danish lawyers and the members of the bar council.

You can see some of the latest documents here, which still no one has dared to answer.

 05-10-2022. kl. 15.23. Gmail - I must strongly ...
 11-01-2023. kl. 20.43. Gmail. I want contact an...
 11-01-2023. kl. 20.43. PDF. Gmail. I want conta...
 12.-11-2023. kl. 04.08 Gmail To ECB - Corrected...
 14-01-2023. kl. 16.09. I ask you and the Minist...
 15-01-2023. kl. 03.23. Since the Ministry of J...
 16-01-2023. kl. 16.32. Gmail - Kladde af brev t...
 18-01-2023. kl. 15.58 Dokument i mailen til Ju...
 18-01-2023. kl. 15.59. til Justistministeren og...
 19-01-2022. kl. 10.54 - It is of course entirel...
 20-01-2023 kl. 11.04. - Dear Lund Elmer Sandage...
 22 January 2023. To Legal Director Martin Skovs...
 26-01-2023 kl. 15.45 Gmail - Minister of Justic...
 26-01-2023 kl. 15.45 Gmail - Prime minister Met...
 26-01-2023 kl. 19.04 Gmail - I would very much ...

-  [27-01-2023. kl. 13.00. Gmail - Is there a probl...](#)
-  [27-08-2021. meddelelse til advokatsamfundet og ...](#)
-  [28 January 2023. time PM 5.32. Oupdate. This c...](#)
-  [28-01-2023 kl. 17.32 Gmail - Can Jyske bank los...](#)
-  [30-06-2021. Advokatnævnet ved Kurt Rsamussen ha...](#)
-  [GMCC33~1.PDF](#)

7 vedhæftede filer

-  **19-09-2020. Til advokatsamfundet. med 26 opfordringer til det svar Dan terkildsen skrev 08-09-2020. i klagen sag-2020-1932. Dan Terkildsen udeblever og svare ikke på en eneste af de 26 opfordringer..pdf**
290K
-  **05-06-2020. Bilag-233. 27 klageforhold over Lundgrens advokat partner selskab. v-Partner Dan Terkildsen. 2020-1932.pdf**
706K
-  **05-06-2020. forløbig pr. 30-01-2023. bliver rettet med link. fra alle Bilag. kaldes klage bilag -233. med 27 klageforhold over Lundgrens advokat partner selskab. v-Partner Dan Terkildsen. 2020-1932..pdf**
1754K
-  **08-09-2020 svare Dan Terkildsen på 4 sider, klagen med 27 klageforhold, uden at forholde sig til klagens mange forhold. Bilag-241..pdf**
3716K
-  **05-06-2020. Appendix List to the complaint against Lundgren's lawyers, for being bribed, not to submit their client's claims against the Jyske Bank Group. 2020-1932.pdf**
3552K
-  **30-06-2021. Advokatnævn ved Højsteretsdommer Kurt Rasmussen afviser og frikender Lundgrens i 27 klageforhold, selv om Lundgrens udebliver med afsluttende bemærkninger..pdf**
7529K
-  **30-01-2023. Letter I am in the process of ascertaining how bad it is with corruption in the Danish state and corruption among the Danish lawyers and the members of the bar council.pdf**
4096K