

Kopi af <http://www.banknyt.dk> 07-02-2023. Time. 13.30

[Read here about the organized crime such as fraud and corruption in Denmark, and which the Danish state, including the government, the Parliament, judges who, together with the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority, deliberately and dishonestly cover up, partly so that Jyske Bank does not lose the right to conduct financial business in Denmark, and because the Danish State wants the State's financial interests in Jyske Bank to face Danish and international legislation. 1. Danish citizen asks Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen about the state's complicity in undermining the legal system.](#)

How deep is the corruption among Danish judges. Would you like to share this information with your friends and colleagues, about the Danish government, the Ministry of State and the Ministry of Justice, which together with several judges are covering up, that the Danish bank Jyske Bank A/S has bribed Lundgren's lawyer partner company, not to present the client's fraud and false case against Jyske Bank A/S

[Print a copy of the last bank news page from this link. 14-01-2023.](#) / [See some of the shared documents at banking news and emails here.](#)

JYSKE BANK BILEN. / The Banking News. UPDATED LATEST 05-02-2023. Time. 21.00.

[Læs siden på Dansk her Link.](#)



Do you want to write an article, or make a documentary about corrupt judges and a legal system in disrepair.

So here is a good story about judicial murder, corruption and bribery, fraud, and abuse of power.

When Danish banks bribe lawyers not to present fraud cases against themselves, and employed Supreme Court judges say this is not a violation of good legal practice, then the population and the legal community have lost.

When the government and the Danish state themselves cover up corruption in Denmark, the reason must be that the government itself is directly involved, or

protects its own economic interests, such as the state's cooperation with Jyske Bank in particular.



[This document an email from 6 February 2023.](#) To the Prime Minister's Office and Parliament as well as Jyske Bank, with attachments, shows that the Danish state and government, at least since April 2019.

have witnessed that Denmark's second largest bank, Jyske Bank, is behind organized crime, that Jyske Bank also use bribes, was first detected in 2019. No one dares to answer, since a even several judges are involved in the corruption, to cover up the criminal Danske Bank.

[06-02-2023. kl. 17.47.Gmail to Jyske Bank. Shall we be helped to clean up together. ?. I would rather take pictures of nature and do other hobbies, I therefore arsk Jyske Bank to help me, and that we forget everything that happened.](#)



30 January 2019. The Jyske Bank car visiting Silkeborg



In Tivoli, a ride on the Carousel costs DKK 80. **If you join Jyske Bank A/S, it could cost you everything you own.** and the state and the judges don't care, the authorities help Jyske Bank get away with the bank's fraud. Jyske Bank has received several invitations to speak with me, my door is open, if you think I am saying something wrong, so please correct me and correct me. but If I tell the truth and you don't like it, then still keep your mouths shut unless you can contradict me.

My calls have the following purpose, and my contact attempts have, in addition to the following purpose, a desire for change, understanding.

1. The purpose of my appeal is partly to come into contact and dialogue with Jyske Bank's board and management, as I want to help Jyske Bank become a better bank, that will comply with all rules and legislation.
2. And is Jyske Bank making mistakes, or is someone in the group doing something that goes against common sense, or against common decency, or has the bank violated the rules of good practice for banks.
3. Or has Jyske Bank violated the law in some other way.

And it is my wish that the board of directors, or someone else in Jyske Bank, an employee, lawyer, the management or someone else in the Jyske Bank group, is immediately made aware of one or more errors, initiates or contributes to inquiries being investigated, and that Jyske and to correct any mistakes, rather than trying to hide them in every possible way, as this will worsen Jyske Bank's chances of becoming a better bank.

In short, I want to help Jyske Bank with my knowledge, to date I have not been able to get in touch, and get the group management or the board members to see, or listen to me yet, but I am still struggling to communicate with Jyske Bank's group management, as I believe that the Jyske Bank group has some problems with running a decent bank, and regardless of the fact, that no Danish authorities or

politicians want Danish Bank to comply with all rules and laws, this in no way prevents Jyske Bank from following and comply with the rules and laws that apply to the rest of the population.

- **And then I want the Danish government, the parliament and all their 179 politicians to join the fight to preserve legal certainty for all citizens in Denmark.**
- **And that the authorities including the State Ministry, the Ministry of Justice, the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority, Danmarks Nationalbank and others respond to my inquiries.**
- **And that the Danish authorities launch an impartial investigation of my information and shared documents as well as minor charges.**

If Jyske Bank A/S, and the Danish authorities continue to refuse to answer me to some of my inquiries.

I will write in my books and elsewhere that Denmark, as a sovereign state, is directly contributing to the fact that large international Danish companies can violate the law as they wish, and that the Danish parliament puts the largest Danish companies above the Danish and international legislation.



Try asking yourself how a Jyske Bank car, with texts like:

Jyske Bank taken for million frauds.

Jyske Bank exposes the customer to gross fraud.

Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers.

For years it has been able to drive around, and here it is parked right outside the door to Jyske Bank store, where it has been parked for a total of 4 months.

Without a single comment.

Or that some have dared to make a single claim that it is a defamatory statement, and that without a single one wanting to make a comment.



I have offered Jyske Bank to remove all advertisements like these on the cars, to which the group management has still not responded, if you missed my phone number it is. +4522227713, and I would like to speak to Jyske bank about this teasing.


We are talking here about organized crime, which is carried out by several people together, which should cause the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority to put Jyske Bank under stricter supervision, or that the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority deprives Jyske Bank A/S of the right to operate a bank in Denmark.

What started as a joke back in 2016, has now become more serious, as it has involved both the Financial Supervisory Authority, the National Police, the prosecution, several members of the court board as well as the Danish State for actual complicity in Jyske Bank's fraud against customers, which I will get closer to.



I have repeatedly offered CEO Anders Dam to meet, and if Jyske Bank wants it I would like to remove my advertisements, Jyske Bank just needs to talk to me and we can agree to clean things up together and remove these advertisements.

Here you see the first Jyske Bank car, with the first of many funny texts, which a customer sent to Jyske Bank, with the words asks BRASK THOMSEN STILL IN THE BANK, the car has constantly changed its transmission, which no one, not even a single one, has any comment on, you can guess why.



A bank book from Brask Thomsen
the customer's first bank book in Vesterbrogade 9.



And here are a few more Jyske Bank cars.





If the The Danish Financial Supervisory Authority members and the board of Nina Dietz Legind, Preben Lund Hansen, Marianne Rørslev Bock, Peter E. Storgaard, Svein Andresen, Paul Brüniche-Olsen, Thomas Elholm, Martin Tvede Zachariasen, Carsten Holdum, Steen Lohmann Poulsen were not to initiate an investigation of Jyske Bank's many criminal violations of the law can only be due to the fact that their intention is that the Danish The Danish Financial Supervisory Authority itself is instrumental in covering up Jyske Bank's open crimes which Ludgrens has also helped with, I will get to this further down the page.

Unfortunately, the Danish FSA refuses to this date, to examine and verify some of my evidence against Jyske Bank, as these are matters that will cause Jyske Bank to lose the right to operate a financial business, which is why Jyske Bank loses its business foundation and Jyske Bank probably goes bankruptcy.

Since the Danish state has large financial interests in Jyske Bank A/S, state and the authorities will and do everything in their power to cover up Jyske Bank's crimes, which even Danish judges are complicit in, and if there are some who have a second opinion, then book me for a meeting and conversation, so that we can correct any misunderstandings.

My name is Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup, and I am apparently the only Dane, who wants to stop corruption in the Danish state, since no members of the government will answer some my inquiries, you have my telephone number, and I am looking for dialogue, as this could damage Denmark and Denmark's credibility, which is not my intention, and therefore I again invite Jyske Bank and the Prime Minister to a dialogue.

Dear Mette Frederiksen and Anders Christian Dam, call me on +4522227713. Since I want to talk to you, I have positioned myself so that it is difficult for me to avoid coming into contact with you.





It should be noted that Marianne Rørslev Bock is the CFO of Scandinavian Tobacco Group A/S, the company that employed Mette Marie Nielsen, just after she had deliberately and I-Loyalt worked for Lundgren's lawyers to damage the client's fraud and false case against Jyske Banks A/ S, who had bribed Lundgren's lawyers for this task.

It should be noted that Peter E. Storgaard is deputy director and head of the Financial Stability department at Danmarks Nationalbank, who are also aware and aware that Jyske Bank is behind extensive abuse and exploitation in order to be able to do and get away with the bank's fraud.



Do you know the Jyske bank car, which is here parked outside Jyske Bank at Gammel Kongevej 136. Ask Jyske Bank if what is written on the car is true, since not a single one dares to confront Carsten.

Explanation.: This is how Jyske Bank starts fraud against the customers, fraud that develops into organized fraud, and where more and more employees become aware of the fraud, and help the criminals' masterminds to cover up simple fraud.


This page is a story about Jyske Bank's business methods, and where no illegalities are a problem for the Jyske Bank group, and their many employees, who are united in deceiving their customers.

This page is part of my diary's story, but is also information about the state corruption, that exists in Denmark, and deals with several corrupt Danish judges and lawyers, who I write knowingly and dishonestly worked to cover up the corrupt lawyers, such as Lundgren's lawyer partner company who has deliberately and dishonestly helped the Jyske Bank group to disappoint in legal matters, in order to undermine the legal security of the amiable citizen in Danish society.



I will touch on who, in addition to Lundgren's lawyers, has directly helped Denmark's second largest bank, Jyske Bank, to commit and continue organized fraud, and I will name the persons, and authorities who have actively or passively covered up the fraud, that the 2 employees Nicolai Hansen and Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen in Jyske Bank A/S Helsingør together started back in 2008. a fraud I only by coincidence discovered in 2016, which was after Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers, by mistake, produced a document that Jyske Bank has hidden and used in connection with the beginning of the fraud.

I will make links to each of the many more criminal matters, that Jyske Bank in my story and against me is demonstrably behind, as it would be too extensive to describe here on the front page, which are mostly about corruption, and how corruption between Danish banks and lawyers takes place, as well as how the the Danish state has become complicit in corruption, by the authorities knowingly covering up the criminal and corrupt companies, as the Danish state itself has large financial interests in the criminal bank.



Nicolai Hansen [Jyske Bank Nordsjælland Erhverv Hillerød](#), started 30 December 2008. along with Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen [Jyske Bank Servicecenter København](#), an organized and cunning fraud against their customer, when the cheating bank starts, an interest rate swap, called an interest rate swap, which goes without saying you exchange interest rates.

Jyske Bank starts by calculating interest at a fixed rate of 5.32% on a loan of DKK 4,328,000. which does not exist, and since Jyske Bank raises a larger amount in interest on that loan, Jyske Bank at a similar interest rate SWAP 4,328,000 swaps interest.



[Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen](#)

Jyske Bank A/S.
foto Jyske Bank.



[Nicolai Hansen](#)

Jyske Bank A/S.
foto Jyske Bank.



[Casper Dam Olsen](#).

Jyske Bank A/S.
foto Jyske Bank.



It is factually documented, that after Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen committed several violations of the provisions of the Criminal Code in 2009, such as abuse of power of attorney, forgery of documents, abuse of the bank's access to the land registry, that Jyske Banks employed Nicolai Hansen and Casper Dam Olsen again, and still together in association knowingly lies to the customer claiming that the customer has borrowed DKK 4,328,000. and subsequently rescheduled the loan.


Nicolai Hansen and Casper Dam Olsen do this solely with the intention of hiding Jyske Bank's use of forged documents and abuse of power of attorney.

And to continue deceiving the customer, so that Jyske Bank can fraudulently collect around DKK 2,500,000 in interest over the next 20 years. of a loan that does not exist and has never existed.

Note that Jyske Bank's fraud is far more extensive than that, which is why the state and parliament cover up Jyske Bank as the bank will lose the right to conduct banking business and probably go bankrupt.



These 2 pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



Side 1 af 1

Bilag 30.**Akut Elvagten**

Fra: Nicolai Hansen [NICOLAI-HANSEN@jyskebank.dk]
 Sendt: 19. februar 2010 11:38
 Til: Elvagten A/S
 Cc: Nicolai Hansen
 Emne: SV: Byørgvej 43 Udgifter i forbindelse med byggeri af hal
 Opfølgningsflag: Opfølgning
 Flagstatus: Rød

Høj Carsten

Nu har jeg fået set på tallene og på de lån der er i dag.

Du skal selvfølgelig tage det hele med forbehold for, at jeg har forstået budgettet rigtigt, og at der er en ubekendt f.s.v. angår renten.

Jeg har forsøgt at opstille dit resterende finansieringsbehov nedenfor:

Mangler at betale ca.	2.250.000 kr.
- El, som du selv finansierer	318.000 kr.
- Moms som du kan få retur nu, ca.	600.000 kr.
= Aktuelt finansieringsbehov	1.332.000 kr. (Er du enig i dette?)
- Moms for resterende regninger, ca.	450.000 kr.
- Deponeret til Stark, frigives	200.000 kr.
= Lån	682.000 kr. (Er du enig i dette?)

Hvis lånet skal finansieres i Jyske Bank kan det gøres over 10 år. I nedenstående opgørelse er der regnet med et lån på 700.000 kr.

Din låneoversigt:

Nykredit	4.328.000 kr. Ciber 6, aktuelt ca. 1,7 % + bidrag 0,8 %
Prioritetslån	2.400.000 kr. Var. 3 mdr. rente, aktuelt 2,1 %
Erhvervslån	700.000 kr. Var. rente, ansået 7,25 %
Fastrentebytte	4.328.000 kr. Fast rente 5,32 %

Renteudgifter 2010:

Nykredit	34.000 kr. (alene bidrag 0,8 %)
Prioritetslån	50.400 kr. (ved uændret rente)
Erhvervslån	50.000 kr. (ved uændret rente)
Fastrentebytte	225.000 kr. (den variable rente på Nykreditlån opvejes af rentetilskud i fastrentebyttet)
Renteudgifter i alt	359.400 kr.

Derudover kommer afdrag på ansået 170.000 kr. på Nykreditlånet + 50.000 kr. på erhvervslånet = 220.000 kr.

Samlet likviditetskrav til finansiering således opgjort til 579.400 kr.

Du må meget gerne lige gå det igennem og fortælle mig om du er enig i opgørelse af finansieringsbehovet.

Hvis du er det, synes jeg vi skal se på hvordan vi løser det aktuelt og ser på hvordan efterfinansieringen skal skrues sammen.

Giv mig et kald eller en mail i næste uge.

God weekend

Venlig hilsen

Nicolai Hansen
 Erhvervsrådgiver
 Helsingør

Jyske Bank A/S
 L.L. Vandervej 7
 DK-2000 Helsingør
 Telefon 80 80 17 74 (Direkte)
 NICOLAI.HANSEN@jyskebank.dk
 CVR nr. 17 61 66 17
 www.jyskebank.dk

08-12-2018

LUND ELMER
SANDAGER

BILAG D

Kristian Ambjørn Buus-Nielsen

Fra: Casper Dam Olsen <CASPER-DAM@jyskebank.dk>
 Sendt: 11. januar 2012 16:04
 Til: 'carsten'
 Emne: SV: tak hilsen carsten SV: Renteswap og Nykredit

Hej Carsten

Det var så lidt.

Hvordan går det med at få lavet regnskab, statusbalance og budget? Er det ved at være på trapperne?

Venlig hilsen

Casper Dam Olsen
 Erhvervsrådgiver
 Helsingør

T +45 89 89 17 73 | F +45 89 89 18 01
 I. L. Tvedesvej 7 | DK-3000 Helsingør
 CVR-nr. 17 61 66 17

JYSKE BANK

Tag din økonomi med på farten - hent Jyske Mobilbank

Fra: carsten [mailto:carsten@elvagten.dk]
 Sendt: 10. januar 2012 21:30
 Til: Casper Dam Olsen
 Cc: 'Elvagten A/S'; Info@bb-kontorassistance.dk
 Emne: tak hilsen carsten SV: Renteswap og Nykredit

Hej Casper

Tussten tak

Altså blev helt paf. Tænkte nok det var mig der tog fejl, har ikke kontrolleret det efter før, og det skal bogføres rigtigt
 Denne sender jeg lige til min nye bogholder, vi skal have renter rigtigt ind,

Hilsen carsten

Fra: Casper Dam Olsen [mailto:CASPER-DAM@jyskebank.dk]
 Sendt: 9. januar 2012 13:18
 Til: Carsten Skaarup
 Emne: Renteswap og Nykredit

Hej Carsten

Jeg har kigget på tallene vedr. swappen og har også en forklaring til dig.

Rentebeløbet på swappen beregnes og afregnes hvert halve år på baggrund af en ny restgæld - og ikke hvert kvartal som på lånet. Det betyder at den rentebetaling for swappen, som du har skrevet på 71.122,07 kr. dækker perioden fra 1.7.2011 til 31.12.2011 og ikke kun 1.10.2011 til 31.12.2011

Hvis du korrigerer for det får du en samlet rentebetaling pr. 31.12.2011 på 55.896,65 kr. Det ligner lidt mere det, du også selv kommer frem til (51.790,17 kr.)

1

Forud sat lån er
 Ophørt
 og swap 16-07-01
 en Afregt.

Årsagen til, at der alligevel er en difference er at det bagvedliggende lån blev ændret til et x1 lån mod tidligere cibor6 EFTER vi havde oprettet renteswappen. Det giver en mindre forskel i renten som du modtager fra Jyske Bank ift. den rente du betaler til Nykredit.

Derudover er der selve den værdi som renten beregnes på baggrund af. Du afvikler som sagt også på swappen, men her sker afviklingen halvårligt, mens du på lånet afvikler hvert kvartal. Det betyder ikke så meget, men bidrager alligevel til at der kommer nogle små udsving. Bl.a. var restgælden jo større i 3. kvartal end den du har for 4. kvartal, og dermed var renteudgiften også lidt større for 3. kvartal end for 4.

Du har også afviklet hurtigere på lånet end på swappen, hvilket har betydet at den restgæld der er på swappen er ca. 100.000 kr. større end lånet. Restgælden på swappen er pr. 31.12.2011 er 3.933.264 kr., mens den på lånet er 3.847.776 kr. Og om 3 måneder er restgælden på lånet blevet ca. 46.000 kr. mindre, og der beregnes rente ud fra det, mens der på swappen først beregnes på ny restgæld efter 2 kvartaler (1.7.2012).

Jeg håber du kan bruge svaret til noget, ellers må du kontakte mig.

Venlig hilsen

Casper Dam Olsen
 Erhvervsrådgiver
 Helsingør



Carsten Storbjerg <car

BILAG 30

LUNDGRENSEN >

Det nærmeste svar på en underskrift fra Nykredit

Carsten [redacted] <[redacted]@com>
Til: peter_soerensen [redacted] <[redacted]@k

18. oktober 2016 kl. 18.35

Hej Peter
er dette her ok, fra Nykredit

Hvor jeg beder Nykredit om at skrive at jeg ikke har hjemtaget bilag 31 tilbudet på 4.328.000 kr

Hils hjemme
Hilsen Carsten

Den 18/10/2016 kl. 14.32 skrev Mette Egholm Nielsen <metn@nykredit.dk>:

Kære Carsten

Som det fremgår af brevet (som jeg har vedhæftet igen), kan jeg på vegne Nykredit Realkredit A/S oplyse, at vi ikke i vores system har en registrering om, at vi på dine vegne har hjemtaget et obligationslån i 2008 på kr. 4.328.000, eller at dette skulle være udbetalt til Jyske Bank.

Det mener jeg er et klart svar på dit spørgsmål.

Venlig hilsen
Mette Egholm NielsenMette Egholm Nielsen
Advokat

Sendt fra min iPhone

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=51894f5694&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1548545984197615785&simpl=msg-f%3A1548545...> 1/1

28-09-2018,

The site also contains material for my books, in which I will describe in more detail the corruption that has infiltrated even the Danish courts.

The books are about the corrupt judges and lawyers as well as authorities in Denmark, who alone decide who does not need to comply with Danish legislation, since the law in Denmark is not equal for everyone, I will of course document this.

I also want to write a book which will be a description of Jyske Bank's business and how Jyske Bank cheats and deceives, which is mainly aimed at sick and weak customers, and when someone like me was ill after a major brain haemorrhage, the criterion is met.



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

** AMBULANTNOTAT ** 16 04 2010 kl. 11:22

Afsender:
200031A
HOSPITALERNE I NORDSJÆLLAND
HI NEUROLOGISK AFD., AMB.

Første ambulantbesøg: 26 01 2010 kl. 08:42
Seneste ambulantbesøg: 13 04 2010 kl. 09:30

Aktionsdiagnose:
A DG402 Fokal epilepsi med komplekse anfald eller GTCS

Andre diagnoser og behandlinger:
DI691 Sequelae haemorrhagiae cerebri

EPIKRISE:
Neuropsychologisk undersøgelse

Henvisning: 26.11.09 synsfeltudfald hø.sidigt; akut CTC: 2 x 1,5 cm stor parenkytblødning med lille ødembræmme beliggende i ve. parietooccipitalregion. MRC 28.12.09: I venstre cuneus ses en amorf læsion målende 25 mm i maksimal diameter sv.t. en intracerebral hæmorrhagi. I den anteriore frontale region ses nogle få, små subkortikale foci, sandsynligvis med kronisk iskæmisk genese. 13.12.09 tonisk-klonisk krampeanfald; hospitalsindbringes og klarer op. Apydan 300 mg x 2 påbegyndes. Ved ambulant kontrol 17.03.10 seponeres Apydan med cresc. Keppra 250 mg x 2. Dags dato fortæller pt. mig endnu ikke at have sluppet Apydanen, dette sker en af de førstkomende dage, med så Keppra derefter. Døjer efterfølgende krampeanfaldet med voldsomme rygsmerter. Kendt med multiallergi og tager bl.a. antihistaminer typisk x flere ugl. Ægtefælle deltager i dagens us. i anamneseoptagelse og feedback.

Socialt: Selvstændig elektriker med 2 ansatte. 10. klasse, var dårlig til dansk og excellerede i matematik. Har ikke arbejdet siden sygemeldingen. Gift.

Klager: Hukommelsesproblemer. Kognitive problemer også mere overordnet, beskeder glipper bl.a. Rygsmerter.

Testadfærd og klinisk indtryk: kritisk og selvnedvurderende i testsituationen. Let frustrerbar og utålmodig. Måske noget febrilsk i testningen.

Alkohol: Beskedent. Ikke-ryger.

Lægerne Annette Meyer/Helle Winding

Udskrevet d. 10.12.18

Lægerne Annette Meyer/Helle Winding

Hillerød Hospital after visit 16-04-2010. have problems with memory. Jyske bank's many employees take advantage of this to be able to deceive their customer into thinking that he has borrowed DKK 4,328,000 from Nykredit and that on 16-07-2008 he has made an interest rate swap for this loan of DKK 4,328,000. A loan that Jyske Banks lied to the customer in 2012 that he had rescheduled.



taget for million svindel.

Denne bil er en advarsel mod Jyske Bank

Brug QR koden og se videoerne, der kommer løbende flere til.


Hvis jeg ikke gør opmærksom på den korruption der findes i danmark, og fortæller om de advokater og dommere der er med til at banker som Jyske Bank ustraffet, kan lave dokumentfalsk og bedrageri med mere.

HVEM SKAL SÅ, når Finanstilsynet og myndighederne dækker over kriminelle danske banker, og ingen absolut ingen tør tale med mig.

Dette handler om din retssikkerhed når store danske virksomheder Som Jyske Bank A/S med flere i forening står bag orgnaceret svindel.

These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.

Bilag 61.



Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup
Søvej 5
3100 Hornbæk

Erhvervsinkasso
Vestergade 8-16
DK-8600 Silkeborg
Telefon 89 89 24 77 (Direkte)
Telefon 89 89 89 89 (Omstilling)
Fax 89 89 24 66
bbt@jyskebank.dk
jyskebank.dk

18.06.2013

Aftale omkring afvikling af dine konti i Jyske Bank

Som jeg tidligere har skrevet til dig på mail, er det meget vigtigt, at vi får lavet en aftale omkring afvikling af dine konti i Jyske Bank.

For at sikre Jyske Banks interesser jeg her 2 salgsfuldmagter til underskrift på ejendommene Bybjergvej 43 og Søvej 5.

Du skal underskrive fuldmagterne i overværelse af vitterlighedsvidner, der skal bekræfte din underskrift. Der er vedlagt svarkuvert til returnering af de underskrevne fuldmagter.
Din hustru skal underskrive salgsfuldmagten på ejendommen Søvej 5.

Samtidig skal jeg modtage dit forslag til afvikling af dine konti:

5050 1513712	saldo	430.076,05 kr.
5050 1354067	saldo	2.399.588,99 kr.
5050 1432988	saldo	105.000,00 kr.

Udover de nævnte konti, er der også et mellemværende vedrørende aftalen om renteswap.

Jeg har brug for yderligere oplysninger om:

- En opfølgning på hvordan det går med at få inddrevet huslejerestancerne på Bybjergvej
- Hvordan gik din snak med ejendomsmægleren, blev du klogere på, hvad der skal til for at få ejendommen solgt?
- Hvor stor en andel af Bybjergvej er udlejet?


SWIFT Code JYBADDXX
CVR-nr. 17616617

Still while the customer, i.e. me, is ill, Jyske Bank wants to take everything from me, and sends the customer to liquidation, which is to pressure the customer as much as possible, so that the customer does not discover Jyske Bank's fraud.

The books are about the corrupt judges and lawyers as well as authorities in Denmark, who alone decide, who i Denmark does not need to comply with Danish legislation, since the law in Denmark is not equal for everyone, I will of course document all this.

I also want to write a book which will be a description of Jyske Bank's business methods, and how Jyske Bank cheats and deceives, which is mainly aimed at sick and weak customers. Naturally, in this connection I will document all Jyske Bank's offences.

When I write how Jyske Bank commits crime, as well as which laws and regulations the bank violates the least in the pursuit of their customers' money.



Many appendices and recordings are original and in Danish.

[Link to the attachments that are shared on this page](#), as well as to [the attachments that deal with the corrupt Lundgren lawyers, which are presented in the complaint 05-06-2020.](#)

[Read in Danish Click here.](#) "Under update and translation."

[01-02-2023. Previous front page Banknyt.dk /previous front page welcome.](#)

Here is a new front page, about the organized crime in Denmark, which the Danish state parliament, the government and several court member's support.

If there is anyone in the government in Denmark or authorities, as well as in the criminal's Jyske Bank, perhaps in the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers who have corrections or comments, please call +45222227713. or send me your comments here [LINK to MAIL](#).

I want to tell in a new way, how the Danish state and government are behind the fact that Denmark's second largest bank can continue to deceive their customers with the government support.

While I write which lawyers and companies and authorities there support or directly help Jyske Bank with fraud, please read the [old front page LINK](#). and see how I have written for many years, without receiving a single remark.



What started with a little writing about a large criminal Danish bank, has been added to historical information about corruption in Denmark, which Danish judges and lawyers are instrumental in, they now get the opportunity to admit, and signs that they do not agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft, when they sign the 6 and, then the 23. points below, to which are added translations from [the complaint 5 June 2020](#). against Lundgrens for being disloyal and corrupt, and with regard to the [decision 30 June 2021](#), in a specific complaint with 27 violations of good legal practice.

My questions to many selected authorities and individuals are to ascertain whether the board's decision is a source of corruption, which I am asking the Danish State by Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and the Ministry of Justice by Peter Hummelgaard for help to confirm, or perhaps deny.

The ministers' answers will confirm that corruption is the governing power factor in Denmark.

Welcome to one of Denmark's probably biggest scandals, which no one, either the Financial Supervisory Authority, the authorities or the Parliament, dare not to ask questions about, my charges against Jyske Bank, Lundgrens or the State for fear that Jyske Bank the big Danish Bank risks coming under strict supervision or that this Danish bank goes bankrupt.

Would you invest and cooperate with the organizations in the Danish state, now that you know that the Danish state through the parliament covers 100% of the largest criminal Danish companies.

I am in the process of ascertaining how bad it is with corruption in the Danish state and corruption among the Danish lawyers and the members of the bar council, their answers will soon show who and how many corrupt members are willing to cover up financial crime themselves.

It is about the Danish state, through the country's highest authorities, the Ministry of State, the Ministry of Justice, the Courts, the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority, the National Police and not least the Bar Council, either actively or passively covering up crimes, such as when Jyske Bank exposes its customers to criminal offences, and about the Bar Council really contributes to Jyske Bank's fraud, by covering up the bribery of Lundgren's lawyers, the lawyers' committee and their members will all get the chance to answer the individual questions again.

This is about the Danish state, through the country's highest authorities, the State Ministry, the Ministry of Justice, the Courts, the Financial Supervisory Authority, the National Police and not least the Bar Council, either actively or passively covering up the crime, that Jyske Bank exposes its customers to, around the Bar Council contributing to Jyske Bank's fraud and bribery of Lundgren's lawyers, the bar committee and their members, will all have the chance to answer the individual questions.

If the members of the bar committee do not appear, i.e. refuse to answer, it can only be inferred that corruption is the one controlling it Danish judiciary, and the bar association.

All authorities and those who are part of the network involved in the economic crime Jyske Bank is behind will be asked to confirm that the 6 points below are not a breach of good legal practice.

I have written again 30 January 2021. to all contributors who for the Danish State have covered up and thus probably contributed to organized crime, what do I know about it, I am dyslexic and not civically educated, that is why I am asking questions.

When I write about the corruption, that has infiltrated the Danish state, the parliament, the courts and the bar association, in order to protect the state's own financial interests in the criminal Jyske Bank group.

What I write has caused several lawyers and judges to participate, and help to undermine the law in order to secure Jyske Bank's survival as a bank for the Danish State.

I have written to the bar association, that they are corrupt, and that the bar association is ruled by corruption, the board's decisions are made, among other things by judges of the Supreme Court, and the board's decision cannot be appealed to other instances.

But when the board's members knowingly and dishonestly, exonerate the corrupt Lundgren's lawyer's office for having accepted bribes, and exonerate Lundgren's lawyers for having withheld and hidden several pleadings and court records from the client, which was to hide that Lundgren's lawyer was corrupt, who already worked for Jyske Bank, and ONLY worked in Jyske Bank's interests.

This can be fully documented, which is why Lundgren's lawyers cannot say anything about my attacks against Lundgren's lawyers.

Lundgren's lawyers there are part of a larger group of lawyers, who work and are in control of corruption, a collaboration that takes place together with several judges, in which several of the members of the Bar Association is contributingn, if not all of them.

As the lawyer mentioned in their decision 30-06-2021. by writes that there is no violation of good legal practice, when lawyers hide and withhold both the client's own pleadings, but who have also hidden the opposing party's pleadings from a client, this is simply a finding that the bar association, through abuse of power, exploits the board's position in society by Jens Steen Jensen from Kromann Reumert lawyers, Birgitte Frølund from Horten lawyers, Kurt Rasmussen from the Supreme Court, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård from the consumer complaints board and Henrik Hyltoft from the Organization Danish business "Dansk Erhverv", a decision that Martin Lavesen from DLA Piper lawyers is agree with, and in this way, employees of some of Denmark's largest law firms, and employees of the Danish Courts Agency themselves can participate to cover the crime that, for example, the Jyske Bank group is behind.

My one book is about how the Danish government, and the state itself are instrumental in undermining the law, as the courts are not impartial, but act based on the state's own financial interests in certain companies, which is how corruption in Denmark works in practice.

Since in Denmark according to the bar council's decision, it is only the lawyers and law firms, which can decide over their clients, own wishes, and which claims the client must present to the court.

This is a disempowerment of the client, which in turn is a violation of human rights, since Denmark's courts, through their judges, have deprived the Danes of the right NOT to decide for themselves.

While Lundgren's lawyers, by several employees, starting with Dan Terkildsen. Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen, Emil Hald Windstrøm "today employed in Rambøll A/S", Pernille Hellesøe, Jens Grunnet-Nielsson, Mette Marie Nielsen "today employed in Danish Bank A/S". together in an association keep the client out of the case against the criminal Jyske Bank as

Completely by chance on 21 September 2019, I find evidence that Lundgren's lawyers around March April 2018 had been bribed by Jyske Bank, under the guise of the return commission, all those involved have hidden this from their client.



21 / 06 / 2018 - 13:51

Lundgrens har ydet
juridisk bistand til
Jyske Bank i
forbindelse med en
trecifret
millionhandel

[Listen to the recording 14. dec. 2018. in the Link.](#)

**Mette Marie Nielsen says clearly on 14 December 2018. in
telephone conversations.**

**YOU MUST TRUST THAT WE,
LUNDGRENS, WILL DO WHAT YOU HAVE
HIRED US TO DO.**

[You can read the interview 14. dec. 2018. as printed with comments here in the link.](#)



[Listen to the recording 18. dec. 2018. in the Link.](#)

And again, in the conversation on 18 December 2018, the client says that it is about fraud, falsehood and abuse and manipulation of documents.

It is clear that Mette Marie Nielsen "today employed at Danske Bank A/S". herself contributes to wanting to

manipulate the client, and at the same time, Mette Marie Nielsen has knowledge that Lundgren's lawyers have acquired large financial interests in Jyske Bank.

[You can read the interview 18. dec. 2018. as printed with comments here in the link.](#)

There is clear evidence that Lundgren's lawyers are deeply corrupt and I-Loyal to their own client.

After they were fired for being corrupt, Lundgren's lawyers have only one comment, namely the claim that these are conspiracy theories.

If Lundgren's lawyers were not corrupt, the law firm would of course accuse me of exposing Lundgren's lawyers to libel, which Lundgren's parties know very well they cannot claim, as the evidence against Lundgren's lawyers is enormous.

At that time, I showed nothing about, that the lawyer board also was passed of corrupt members, whose only wish was to cover up Lundgren's lawyers.

Just as when the bar council acquits Lundgren's lawyers, and states that the client must trust that Lundgren's lawyers did what

was best for the client, members of the bar council have thus become complicit in the corruption mentioned above.

Since October 2019, I have publicly written and said that Lundgren's lawyers are deeply corrupt and that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers to act I-loyally and has worked against the client, in order to help Jyske Bank to defraud to defraud their mutual customer and client.



CEO Anders Christian Dam, now set your mandate free, you are a detriment to both Jyske Bank and the Danish State, and your rants about the inability to resolve conflicts may give Jyske Bank a hard time, and Jyske Bank may lose the right to operate a bank in Denmark, i.e. if the law is equal for all and applies to Jyske Bank.

[Mail. 28. jan. 2023 21.33.](#)

Jyske Bank A/S now solve your problems internally before I have to complain about Denmark and the Danish state for violation of human rights.

I do not believe that the governments and the states, such as Denmark should cover up Banks which here like Jyske Bank, are demonstrably in breach of Danish legislation, and which in particular have deliberately and dishonestly breached a several of the provisions of the Criminal Code.

I have therefore on 27 January 2023 sent the Ministry of State and the Ministry of Justice 6 questions, all of which are about Disable the rights of the Danish population,

And of those who request help from the Danish lawyers, such as Lundgren's lawyer partner company.

While we wait for a response from the Prime Minister's Office and Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen.



Can the Lundgrens be in doubt that they should present Jyske Bank's use of fraud and false. ?

Is the lawyer board corrupt, when the members together in association decide, that Lundgrens would like to keep the client out of the case, and hide that Lundgrens works for Jyske Bank and therefore not present the fraud and false that the client hired Lundgrens for.


I Carsten Storbjerg, say there are serious problems with collusion far up in the Danish state and the courts, which work to secure the state's economic interests in the criminal banks that parliament and the state itself use and collaborate with.

Problems that I point out here are a scandal.


Jyske Bank has on 1 February 2019. against a third party, which is Lundgren's alleged that I am committing a criminal offense when I advertise Jyske Bank's fraud.



The picture for the complaint Appendix 55. The letter from 1 February 2019.




These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



Page. 1-2. The letter from 1 February 2019. Jyske Bank's Board member Philip Baruch from Lund Elmer Sandager, writes to Lundgren's Dan Terkildsen

THE LETTER IS FROM 03-10-2019. and not among those from 23-08-2019. after I, as a client, asked the court for access to documents in my case, as Lundgrens would not disclose what they did, after Lundgrens did not respond to my inquiries, as you can see in the attachment from the complaint 05-06-2020.



Page. 2-2. The letter from 1 February 2019. Jyske Bank's Board member Philip Baruch from Lund Elmer Sandager, writes to Lundgren's Dan Terkildsen

THE LETTER IS FROM 03-10-2019. and not among those from 23-08.2019. after I, as a client, asked the court for access to documents in my case, as Lundgrens would not disclose what they did, after Lundgrens did not respond to my inquiries, as you can see in the attachment from the complaint 05-06-2020.

Also read [my email of 28 January 2019](#). to Jyske Bank's management, as I, which was written before the discovery of Jyske Bank's letter, with something that looks like a reply to Lundgren's lawyers.

[The letter sent on 1 February 2019](#) to Dan Terkildsen, who chooses to hide the letter from me the client, as Lundgrens did not think the client needs to know about it, despite the fact that Jyske Bank writes in the letter claims, that the client is violating the law.

The client, who is me, comes into possession of the letter 23 October 2019. and becomes very scared after reading, what Jyske bank by their board member Philip Baruch wrote.

The client is so scared Laughing is too far-fetched. criminals who claim that the aggrieved party is a criminal just because he shares information about the criminal Danish Bank.

[Appendix 172. 28-01-2019. Letter to Jyske Bank's group management, copy to Lundgrens with Annex 56. 01-02-2019. IT IS ABOUT FRAUD.](#)



Stop the criminal banking in Denmark.

Jyske Bank's [response 1 February 2019](#). from the complaint's Appendix 55. I only found out about 23 October, after [Lundgren's lawyers were fired 25 September 2019](#).

[Appendix 55. Lundgren has not shared this accusation with me](#), who was their client, and Jyske Bank has never claimed to me that I violate any laws, but I would like Jyske Bank to talk to me about whether I am lying or writing the truth, the problem is that Jyske Bank does not dare.

Ask yourself why not a single one, has said anything to my advertising cars, because of Jyske Bank's fraud, which started for fun in 2015/2016. and which today runs with rather outrageous accusations, [which Jyske Bank thinks is funny, it takes it anyway as a joke](#), while the parliament, politicians, authorities all keep their mouths shut, because they all know very well that Jyske Bank is behind organized crime.

Jyske Bank could stop this advertisement itself, as I want dialogue, but Anders Christian Dam who is the king, does not want dialogue, at least not as long as the state and authorities and the investors that covers Jyske Bank.



The Danish state, the government If and of course also Jyske Bank A/S, do you still think this is funny, even if the Danish state is covering up a criminal bank, it doesn't change that what I say and write is the truth.

Remember that the law says it is illegal to spread false rumours, so now that several cars are driving around with the text Jyske Bank A/S by several employees, as Nicolai Hansen, Jeanet Kofoed-Hansen are behind economic crime against customers, and this has happened and continues with the help of at least Casper Dam Olsen, Anette Kirkeby, Birgit Buch Thuesen, Søren Woergaard, as well as the Jyske Bank board as Philip Baruch and Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers.

Is fraud that even the management with Anders Christian Dam at the front, Niels Erik Jakobsen, Per Skovhus, Peter Trier Schleidt, and that also Lars Stensgaard Mørch allows Jyske Bank to carry out against the customers that the bank can defraud.

Other active and passive contributors to Jyske Bank's organized crime include lawyers such as Morten Ulrik Gade, Martin Skovsted-Nielsen, Philip Baruch, Lund Elmer Sandager, and this is continued with help from Kristian Ambjørn Buus-Nielsen. An organized fraud that Lundgren's lawyers have also helped with, and this at least with the help of Dan Terkildsen, Jens Grunnet-Nilsson, Sebastian

Lysholm Nielsen, Pernille Hellesøe, Emil Hald Vendelbo Winstrøm, who is currently employed in Rambøll A/S, Mette Marie Nielsen who is employed in Danske Bank A/S

I have personally and repeatedly called on Jyske Bank's management to request the police to conduct an investigation to see if what is written on the cars is true, which Jyske Bank does not dare, CEO Anders Dam is afraid that the police will just confirm that Jyske Bank is behind organized crime



Advokat nævnet dækker over deres korrupte medlemmer der som Lundgrens advokater er blevet bestukket af Jyske Bank.

Dækker politiet og statsadvokaten over danske bankers bedrageri, ja de gør de, da det er politisk, hvilket sagen nr. 0100-83966-00085-16. er et godt eksempel på.

Jyske Bank is afraid to give me more evidence than I already have.



I want to give you the opportunity to speak up.

Since my story and books, will show and document that Denmark is ruled by corruption, and that several individuals, as well as authorities and those there is behind it, have abuse of power, worked to undermine legal certainty, and deprive individual Danish citizens of their right to decide for themselves.

It is not only a scandal but also a good story.

Parliament, authorities and lawyers and more, you still have the opportunity to speak out, and oppose the corruption that I say runs the country.

You can start by replying to the emails you have received, as well as confirming or denying the questions.



Then you yourself, can contribute to the investigation of criminal Danish banks and those corruptions, that are used to undermine the legal security of the Danish population.

How will you answer the questions yourself? which prominent politicians, civil servants and lawyers as well as organizations will receive, with direct reference to how Supreme Court Justice Kurt Rasmussen judges, to give Danish lawyers full power over all clients.



States with these here 6 questions.

I give these 3 answer options, and therefore ask the Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to each answer with one.

**I totally agree.
I completely disagree.
I do not know.**

And that for every question.



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



1.

**It is not a violation of good legal practice when.
A lawyer hides and withholds own pleadings from the lawyer's
own client.**

I totally agree. _____
/ _____

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



**Jyske Bank A/S og deres leder CEO Anders Kristian Dam
Er gentagende gange blevet opfordret til at gå til politiet.**

Men altså kun hvis Jyske bank A/S, ikke ved flere medarbejder som
Mindst ved Nicolai Hansen, Jeanet Kofoed Hansen, Casper Dam Olsen
Morten Ulrik Gade, Philip Baruch med flere, har medvirket til bedrageri.

**Og har lavet eller dækket over Jyske Banks brug af
Bondefanderi, Dokumentfalsk, Bedrageri, Retsmisbrug, Vanhjemmel, Tvang,
Misbrug af Jyske Banks adgang til tinglysningsretten, Fuldmagt misbrug,
Bestikkelse / Returkommission, vildledning, Ond Tro, Svig, Falsk, Lyver m.m**

These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



2.

**It is not a violation of good legal practice when.
A lawyer also does not, on request, provide the client with a
copy of the lawyer's own pleadings.**

I totally agree. _____.

/ _____.
Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____.


Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



3.

**It is not a violation of good legal practice when.
A lawyer hides and withholds the other party's pleadings from
the lawyer's own client.**

I totally agree. _____.

/ _____.
Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



4.

**It is not a violation of good legal practice when.
When a lawyer withholds parts of the court record from the
lawyer's own client.**

I totally agree. _____.

/ _____.
Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



5.

It is not a violation of good legal practice when.

When a lawyer does not present the client's claims in the client's case, and at the same time does not respond to the client's inquiries, what the client says is important and must be presented in court.

I totally agree. _____.

/ _____.
Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



6.

It is not a violation of good legal practice when.

When a law firm works for both the plaintiff and defendant at the same time, as long as it does not happen in the same case.

Why a law firm A. that has been tasked with presenting a fraudulent and false case against the defendant B. and Subsequently at the same time, the same law firm A. may well give B.

counseling in a transaction of around DKK 600,000,000. without it is being a breach of good legal practice.

I totally agree. _____.

/ _____.
Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I completely disagree. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt

I do not know. _____.

Write your name in legible writing.
Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård and Henrik Hyltoft.

/ And sign here if you agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.

28-01-2023 21.33. Gmail - JYSKE BANK and The Danish State. Now solve your problems internally before I have to go to the state for accomplices, in which case they will not participate in my investigation.



Jens Steen Jensen, partner in Kromann Reumert, lawyers, [has decided that the clients do not Need to know what their lawyers are doing.](#) foto Kromann Reumert.

Birgitte Frølund, partner in Horten lawyers, [has decided that the clients do not Need to know what their lawyers are doing.](#) foto Horten advokater.

Kurt Rasmussen, the Supreme Court Judge [has decided that the clients do not Need to know what their lawyers are doing.](#) foto advokatnævnet.

Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård
[Resolved that lawyers do not need to hand over pleadings or court records to clients of Danish lawyers.](#)



Henrik Hyltoft [has decided that the clients do not Need to know what their lawyers are doing.](#) foto Dansk Erhverv.

Martin Lavesen chairman of the Bar Council.
 partner i DLA Piper foto. DLA PIPER.



If Jyske Bank has not committed the fraud and used forged documents as well as bribed Lundgren's lawyers, which I say these members have contributed to, by directly covering it up, by rejecting all 27 complaints against Lundgren's lawyers.

We ask Supreme Court Judge Ole Hasselgaard whether he agrees with the question. And calls on Jyske Bank to file objections, if Anders Dam does not believe that Jyske Bank has committed organized crime and used bribes to cover up the bank's crimes.

A case and story about those who in the Danish State decide, who must comply with Danish Law and who themselves are governed by corruption.

I am naturally disposed to meet and review my charges and accusations against the Bar Council for being corrupt.

And that these members, at least in my example, have deliberately and dishonestly worked to undermine legal certainty, to cover up not only Jyske Bank's fraud, but to cover up that Lundgren's lawyers are corrupt.

A corruption of which the Bar Council itself is a part, so that Danish lawyers themselves can decide on their clients.

Corrupt lawyers in the Danish legal community have become a visible problem that the state has the right to allow Danish banks to commit fraud and use forgery and bribery in their quest to enrich themselves through fraud.

Ole Hasselgaard has a background from the Ministry of Justice, and if Ole Hasselgaard agrees that none of the examples mentioned are violations of good legal practice, I must request that the bar council give the public a notice about this, so that the public is aware that they cannot be trusted on Danish lawyers, where several of these are corrupt, and can be bought to change the client's case, in order to then keep the client out of the case, which is with the found support the members of the bar council.

Ole Hasselgaard do you agree with the bar association's chairman Martin Lavesen partner in DLA Piper lawyers. and the Chairman of the Board of Appeal "the former Chairman."

Supreme Court Judge Kurt Rasmussen in that board decisions that are important for legal certainty are not made public.

As here, where Jyske Bank A/S has clearly bribed Lundgren's lawyers to withhold and hide several pleadings and court records from their own client.

When Jyske Bank paid a bribe to Lundgren's lawyers, so that the client would be kept out of his own case against Jyske Bank A/S, Kurt Rasmussen chose, together with the other members, come up with a ruling, in which the unanimous members decide that it is the lawyer, who alone decides, has to be in the client's interest, and that the client has no right to decide on their own case.


I am therefore asking in an inquiry the new chairman Supreme Court judge Ole Hasselgaard, who has been at the head of the prosecution, which has refused to investigate the organized crime which Jyske Bank A/S has been behind by several employees together in association.

And with a direct reference, the bar association's rejection of 27 complaints against Lundgrens lawyer partner company, which through several lawyers and employees working together in association, has directly and deliberately worked against the interests of the client.

Then lawyer mentioned 1 July 2020. in the complaint 2020-1933. refused to investigate the complaint against Lundgrens lawyer partner company.

Since the board's members only thought it was about 1 lawyer, Partner Dan Terkildsen, this despite the fact that I in the complaint.

"which is shared here in a link in Danish, this will be translated and a link to the appendix inserted."



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures

My claims are that Denmark's courts and lawyers as well as the Bar Association have the ultimate right, to manipulate those they want, in order to be able to cover up cases, in which the Danish State has great economic interests, which is what I write more precisely in my posts, concerning the corruption that governs Denmark, through abuse of power, to keep inflamed cases away from the court.

Which means that no one can trust the Danish state, which puts its own economic interests above laws and regulations.

Denmark has a big problem, which Jyske Bank has helped draw attention to.




Read the complaint here [Appendix 233. with links](#) in the complaint to these appendices.

[Annex 240. with mentioned annexes in the complaint against Lundgrens, with Annex Y. Z. Æ. Ø. Å. AB. AC. A.D. AE. AG. AH. AJ. and Annex 7. from the case against Jyske Bank for use](#)


of fraud and forgery carried out in bad faith. . Submitted by Philip Baruch 05-11-2018. in the case where Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers actually help Jyske Banks to continue fraud / fraud against customers in Jyske bank A/S.

05-06-2020. provisionally as of 30-01-2023. will be fixed with link. from all Annexes. is called complaint annex -233. with 27 complaints against Lundgren's lawyer partner company. and Partner Dan Terkildsen. 2020-1932.

In the complaint against Lundgren's lawyer partner company, I have mentioned that these employees are some of those who have opposed the client presenting his fraud allegations against Jyske Bank, and whom Lundgren's lawyers had been employed by and work for, so if there is no talking about corruption what is it then.



These pictures are not on [http://http://www.banknyt.dk](http://www.banknyt.dk) and are just mood pictures.



30-01-2018. fra klagens Bilag 2.
 Mail to Lundgren's Dan Terkildsen.
 Asking for help in presenting a case with several counts of fraud.



Carsten Storbjerg

BS 1-698/2015. Svig & Falsk sagen mod jyske bank

1 meddelelse

Carsten

16. januar 2019 kl. 17.28

Til: mmn@lundgrens.dk

Cc: peter soerensen

s

Lundgrens

16-01-2019

Hej Mette

Jyske bank har presset så hårdt og Carsten har knoklet kun på sagen for at lave kladde til et nyt proces skrift som banken kunne dømmes efter.

Det blev en vidne forklaring med bilag, da banken 28-12-2018 fortsatte bedrageri og tog vores penge.

er psykisk brudt ned grundet dette konstante svig.

Vedr. kravet mod jyske bank grundet denne svig sag.

Så ligger der aftaler imellem storbjerg erhverv og vores Holding selskab.

Der er ligeledes en aftale i mellem os og vores Holding selvskab.

Er nødsaget til lige at rette focus op her, og glemme den skade jyske bank gør, skal have nogle penge i kassen, så der kan betales regninger.

Men fokus er på det at jyske bank laver svig falsk, manipulation med bilag, lyver for retten mm.

Er i ikke enige i at vi er udsat for massivt svig, eller tager vi fejl ?

Ville allerhelst at jyske bank indrømmer det vi anklager dem for, og så siger offentlig undskyld.

Så slipper vi for retten

Lundgrens svare aldrig således har vi ingen ide om hvad Dan Terkildsen mener, eller tænker da ingen fra Lundgrens svare på noget

Regner med hovedforhandling bliver ca. April / Maj 2019

Bedste hilsner Anne-Marie og Carsten

Søvej 5.
3100

Mail

22227713.

16-01-2019. 17.28

Mail to Lundgren's explanation of testimony Appendix 28-101 Jyske Bank makes fraud.

27.10.2022 16.44

Gmail - SV: Vores faktura 18-8204 på sag 62032 [RL-WSDOCS.FID969511]



Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>

SV: Vores faktura 18-8204 på sag 62032 [RL-WSDOCS.FID969511]

Karoline Stampe Eriksen <kse@lundgrens.dk>
 Til: Anne-marie Skaarup <skaarup56@gmail.com>
 Cc: Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>

21. januar 2019 kl. 15.51

Hej Anne-Marie

Tak for din mail.

Jeg noterer at vi sender mail vedr. svig-sagen til denne mail.

Med venlig hilsen

Karoline

Med venlig hilsen

Karoline Stampe Eriksen
 Sagscontroller

LUNDGREN S

.....

Tel +45 3525 2535

Dir +45 3525 2861

..... E-mail Notice

This communication is for use by the intended and contains information that may be privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure. We do not accept liability for any data corruption, interception, unauthorised amendments, tampering or virus or the consequences hereof with the respect to our e-mails.

Fra: Anne-marie Skaarup [mailto:skaarup56@gmail.com]
Sendt: 21. januar 2019 15:36
Til: Karoline Stampe Eriksen <kse@lundgrens.dk>
Cc: Carsten Storbjerg <carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com>
Emne: Re: Vores faktura 18-8204 på sag 62032 [RL-WSDOCS.FID969511]

Hej Karoline

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=51894f5694&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-f%3A1623281930665458669&simpl=msg-f%3A1623281...> 1/2

Lundgrens confirms and uses the word fraud themself.

I insert here the 3 pages that Lundgrens presented to the court, of which Lundgrens did not want to share their latest from 2 September 2019, your task is to find the places where Lundgrens presents Jyske Bank using fraud and forgery.

28-09-2018. The case against Jyske Bank by Morten Ulrik Gade and CEO Anders Christian Dam for being instrumental in Jyske Bank A/S being able to deceive their customers, forging documents and lying to the court, involved Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers and Philip Baruch, Lundgrens by Dan Terkildsen, Mette Marie Nielsen, Emil Hald Vendelbo. 28-09-2018. Process document 1.

Appendix 22. 28-09-2018. pp. 1-3. Procedural document 1. Lundgrens sends this without presenting without approval, sends copy 01-10-2018.

18-12-2018. final pleadings. The case against Jyske Bank by Morten Ulrik Gade and CEO Anders Christian Dam for being instrumental in Jyske Bank A/S being able to deceive their customers, forging documents and lying to the court, involved Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers and Philip Baruch, Lundgrens by Dan Terkildsen, Mette Marie Nielsen, Emil Hald Vendelbo. 18-12-2018. closing pleadings, and 2 appendices without submissions.

Appendix 47. 18-12-2018. final pleadings with Appendices 18 and 19. still without some of the client's claims and demands.

Procedural document 2. 02-09-2019 and list of attachments 105 to 164.

Bilag 164.

Side 1. af 6.

02-09-2019

Retten i Viborg
Klostermarken 10
8800 Viborg

viborg@domstol.dk

Fremlæggelse sammen med bilag.

Sagsnr. BS 1-698/2015

Kære Domstol.

I forbindelse med sagen hvor vi er part, kan vi se der manglede fremsendelse af de bilag, vi finder er vigtig for sagen, desværre blev vores bilag fra 28-12-2018 ikke fremlagt, derfor laver vi en opdateret påstands forklaring som vi vil oplæse i retten, denne forklaring er en erstatning på fremsendte Bilag 100 og Bilag 101.

Domstolen må bruge vores vidneforklaring, og forklaring af sagens forhold, for at få opklaret om Storbjerg Erhverv er udsat for svig, svigagtig optræden, at sagsøgte har talt usandt i retsforholdet, at Storbjerg har være udnyttet, vildledt, og at udnyttelsen er sket i Ond Oro.

Vi forsøgte at fremlægge bilag for vores forklaring, hvilket retten har set bort fra. alle bilagene er vigtige for sagen og vores vidneforklaring i retten.

Det er derfor vigtigt for os, at bilagene fremlægges, således vi kan forklare bilag overfor vores påstande, som at det fremlagte Bilag AH. udbetalte lån, 4.300.000 kr. af jyske bank blev krævet tvangsnedbragt, ved salg af byggegrund, uden at lade rentebytte Bilag 1. følge tvangsnedbringelsen, Sagsøger påstår Bilag 1. er falsk, Storbjerg har ikke 16-07-2008 godkendt en Swap til.

Når Jyske bank ved Bilag E.1 benægter at have med tvangssalget at gøre, og dermed nedbringelse af lånet Bilag AH. men fastholder Bilag 1. Nu er en rentesikring til Bilag AH. bliver bilagene meget vigtige.

Vi beviser at Jyske bank både krævede et tvangssalg, og bagefter tvangssalget, Vores Bilag 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. nægtede at modtage provenuet. Bilag 75. 76. 78. vi har skrevet et utal af gange til Jyske Banks Koncernledelse enslydende som Bilag 80. 88. og Bilag 85. (kopi af det fremlagte til retten 03-01-2018)

Eftersom Jyske bank stadig her 28-12-2018 fortsætter at hæve renter, også af tvangsnedbringelsen af Bilag AH. føler vi os bedraget og udnyttet. Bilag 150. 151. viser forskellen selv om Bilag 1. fastholdes som aftalt 15-07-2008. men nu i stedet til et overliggende Lån Bilag AH. der blev tilbudt til et andet projekt, end Bilag Y. var for.

1

Appendix 164. P. 1-6. Presented 02-09-2019. With Process Script 2. Note the claim that the swap W015785 is not agreed, and this claim to be false. See what the conservative star lawyer Dan Terkildsen from Lundgren's lawyers instead writes on 2. In Processkrift 2. And then ask yourself whether Jyske Bank's board is behind bribing Lundgren's lawyers to change the client's claims.

Bilag 164.

Side 2. af 6.

Vi skal ligeledes fremhæve at den af Storbjerg erhverv godkendte rentesikring, er **Bilag E.5.** og at denne er lukket pr. 30-12-2008. ved **Bilag 18.A.** Storbjerg Erhverv har ikke efterfølgende talt med Jyske bank 16-07-2008 omkring en ny rente bytte som **Bilag 1.** hvis **Bilag Y.** blev hjemtaget.

Det er ikke sandt at der har været noget underliggende lån, som oplyst **Bilag 29.A.** og derfor heller ikke blevet omlagt som oplyst i **Bilag D.** selv om jyske Bank både i sagen 328/2013 for ankenævnet, og over for retten har påstået **Bilag 1.** var en rentesikring på et underliggende lån, har det ikke været muligt for Storbjerg erhverv at få bevis for et sådanne var optaget, derfor er **Bilag 30.** fremlagt.

Carsten Storbjerg har været meget syg i flere år, 2009 – 2014 Læge joner fremlægges **Bilag 142. 143. 144. 145. 146.** og blandt andet derfor opdager Carsten Storbjerg ikke at jyske bank har manipuleret med bilagene, som at tildele flere virksomheder samtids og overlappende med samme konto nummer. **Bilag 54. 55. 56.** Carsten opdager ikke at Jyske Bank hæver Provision **Bilag 53.** for at en garanti til et lån der ikke findes.

Bilagene viser at Jyske bank nægtede Carsten Storbjerg at tage advokatforbehold. **Bilag 69.** og i den forbindelse spærrede alle konti, for at få de 2 krævede salgsfuldmagter **Bilag 74.A.** uden advokat forbehold.

Bilag K. er lavet 19-05-2009 ved at rette i **Bilag 7.** der var udløbet 20-11-2008 med tilbuddet **Bilag Y.**

Vores advokat skulle have sørget for disse bilag alle er fremlagt, til brug for hovedforhandling. 30-09-2019

Vores forklaring understøttes af bilagene som vi beskriver. samt at forholdet mod Storbjerg Erhverv ApS fortsættes ved **Bilag 150.** 28-12-2018 på trods af de mange fremsendte breve til Lund Elmer Sandager advokater og Jyske Bank siden april. 2016, hvor vi har anmodet selv CEO Anders Christian Dam og Koncernledelsen, bevise at vi har optaget det af Jyske Bank påstået underlæggende lån på 4.328.000 kr. **Bilag 29. A.** i Nykredit, som banken har fremlagt det for ankenævnet **Bilag 124.** og overfor retten.

Koncernledelsen nægter kontinuerligt at svare eller bevise Storbjerg Erhverv har et underlæggende lån. **Bilag 29.A.** for en rentesikring i Jyske bank, den godkendte **Bilag E.5.** som jyske bank efter denne er lukket **Bilag 18.A** selv 16-07-2008 laver og indsætter en ny **Bilag 1.** denne er derfor ikke aftalt.

Vores her delvise vidneforklaring til hovedforhandling, handler om mange påstande, bilagene er yderst vigtige for sagen, da de understøtter denne vidneforklaring.

Når Storbjerg Erhverv først sent opdager der nok ikke findes noget underlæggende lån, er det dels grundet Carsten Storbjerg var syg, hvilket er forklaret ved **Bilag. 142. til 146.**

Carsten Storbjerg forsøger at undersøge ved Nykredit. om der er udbetalt et sådanne lån, som Jyske Bank har påstået eks. **Bilag 29.A.** og ved **Bilag 56.** side 3. 15-04-2009 har taget 66.400 kr. for, og igen 16-04-2016 tager 23.517,36 kr. for i låneformidling. Ligeledes ønsker Carsten Nykredit svare om dette lån bagefter er omlagt, som Jyske Bank skriver 09-01-2012 i **Bilag D.** Nykredit svare ikke.

Bilag 164.

Side 3. af 6.

Storbjerg Erhverv stævner Nykredit for at få svar, først herefter svare Nykredit undvigende, vi får Bilag 30.

Vores forklaring er også at Bilag Y. vedrører et Projekt 1. Bilag 31. 37. 39. 38. dette projekt er kasseret. Alle bilag for tilbuddet Bilag Y. bortfalder 20-11-2008 hvor tilbuddet udløber, og som Nykredit Bilag AG. Side 4/6 skriver er bortfaldet.

Herefter arbejder Storbjerg erhverv på et nyt og andet projekt 2, hvilket er fremlagt, Nicolai Hansen Jyske Bank Bilag 47. 49. Nicolai Hansen gennemgår med Carsten Storbjerg Budgettet Bilag 47. for om det passer og om alt er medtaget, før Nicolai oplyser han vil sende Budgettet til Nykredit for et nyt tilbud. Dette sker dog ikke.

Bilag 47. er rettet af Nicolai som desværre ikke ser der mangler Provision & Renter til jyske bank i Budgettet, og ved Bilag 48. oplyser Casper Dam Olsen 08-07-2009 at dette ikke er medtaget i Budgettet, og spørger om Storbjerg selv har små 800.000 kr.

Storbjerg Erhverv, har efter Projekt 1, og der med tilbud Bilag Y. er kasseret, fremlagt projektet 2. hertil opnås byggetilladelse, Bilag 50. 24.03.2009. Storbjerg beder herefter Jyske Bank om et nyt tilbud, dels er projektet et andet, og "aftaleloven § 2." for et tilbud er klar. Bilag Y. udløb 20-11-2008.

Selv om Jyske bank forsøger at hjemtage det udløbte tilbud Bilag Y. ved fremsendelse af Bilag AB. 15-04-2009.

Bør Jyske Bank som Danmarks andenstørste bank kende "aftaleloven § 4."

Når Philip Bauch alligevel 05-11-2018 fremlægger Bilag AD. AC. AB. Y. Æ. Ø. Å. for at fastholde Bilag 1. Gøres det gældende at alle disse bilag omhandlende tilbuddet Bilag Y. og som blev underskrævet 10-07-2008 kun var for hvis lånet blev hjemtaget, som Nicolai Hansen forklarede, bilagene skulle altså kun bruges hvis det tilbudte lån 4.328.000 kr. blev hjemtaget.

Det gøres Gældende. At det er kunden selv der bestemmer om et lån skal hjemtages. Bilag 52.

Det er IKKE Jyske Bank der bestemmer om en kunde vil hjemtage et lån, selv om Jyske Bank ved Bilag 7. fra 10-07-2008 der er en begrænset fuldmagt, og alene er lavet, og kun er gældende for Bilag Y. til projekt 1.

Selv om dette tilbud og fuldmagten Bilag 7. er udløbet 20-11-2008, forsøger Jyske Bank ved Bilag AB. direkte imod Carsten Storbjerg's anvisning, og uden gyldig Fuldmagt, at optage et lån på 4.328.000 kr. for at kunne fastholde en godkendt rentebytte Bilag E.5 på 4.328.000 kr. hvilket er direkte imod Kundens ønske.

Storbjerg Erhverv fastholder at jyske bank handler i strid med redelig bankforretning, ved at handle imod kundes anvisninger, og handle uden gyldig fuldmagt. "aftaleloven § 11." Jyske bank har dermed overskredet sin beføjelse, og en retshandel er ikke bindende.

Selv om Jyske bank retter i Bilag 7. 19-05-2009 og fremlægger dette bilag som Bilag K. uden at oplyse til Domstolen at datoen for genanvendelse af det udløbte Bilag 7. er lavet af Nicolai Hansen, således han slap for at lave en helt ny fuldmagt 19-05-2009. til tilbud af 06-05-2009 Bilag AG. For Projekt 2, hertil laves

Bilag 164.

Side 4. af 6.

papirende igen klar Bilag 51. Jyske Bank laver flere bilag klar 28-05-2009 Bilag 90. til hvis lån skal hjemtages, hvilket sker 03-07-2009 ved Bilag AH. udbetaling sker Bilag AJ. 06-07-2009

At Jyske Bank Bilag 48. ikke har set gebyr og renter i budgettet Bilag 47. mangler, og budgettet ikke kan bruges, hvorfor Kunden 2 dage efter hjemtagelse, skal optage et nyt lån, Bilag 103. Jyske bank har ikke lavet tilsvarende opgørelse for hjemtagelsen af Lånet Bilag AH.

Før lånet Bilag 85. optags laver Jyske Bank en risiko profil Bilag 84. juni eller juli 2010 af Carsten Storbjerg heri står der.

"Ønsker høj sikkerhed og lav risiko, og Carsten har ingen planer om at indfri eller afvikle på lånet."

Dette stemmer på ingen måde sammen med det som jyske bank laver og udsætter deres kunde for, når Jyske Bank Bilag 62. også fremlagt som Bilag C. alleræde 3 måneder efter, Bilag 102. er lavet.

Jyske Bank *"Overvejer fra salg af byggegrund, pant i anparter, virksomheds pant, forhøjet ejerpantebrev."*

Jyske Bank fremhæver 05-11-2018 Bilag X. som har oplyst et Bidrag på 0.8 % til Tilbud Bilag Y. Jyske Bank har ved fremlæggelsen af tilbuddet Bilag Y, forklaret at en rente bytte (som Bilag E.5.) ville være billigere og helt sikkert bedre end et normalt obligationslån, hvilket heller ikke var sandt, hertil fremhæves Bilag 57. bidraget er højere end på andre låntyper. Bilag 58. bidraget er 3 % og restgæld på 1.140.217,18 kr. Jyske bank hæver renter af 2.927.634,98 kr. Bilag 59.

Altså efter jyske bank krævede byggegrunden Bybjergvej 45-47 solgt ved Bilag 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. Jyske Bank benægter dog dette over for retten ved Bilag E.1. og Bilag 89. og ville derfor ikke afslutte det af jyske bank afkrævet salg. Bilag 75. 50. 78. handlen er sket 02-05-2012 ved Bilag 72. skødet.

Grunden blev udbudt til salg for 6.351.200 kr. og løbende nedsat som ved Bilag 71. til salg efter krav Bilag 64. tilsvarende.

Uanset de mange skrivelser til Jyske banks advokater bestyrelsesmedlemmer, og ordstyrerne formand. CEO Anders Dam.

Har koncernen nægtet enhver form for dialog, på trods af Bilag 89. og fortsat med U berettiget at tage Storbjergs penge, Som Bilag 59. velvidende at dette er Uhæderligt. Og på ingen måde har noget med restgælden på Lånet Bilag AH. at gøre, Bilag 58. 151. uagtet dette lån slet ikke er aftalt rentebyttet.

Dermed fortsætter Jyske bank med at give banken en U berettiget økonomisk vinding og Storbjerg Erhverv et tab.

Bilagene vigtige at få fremlagt Domstolen således Storbjerg får sin sag forklaret, og for at kunne bevise under vidneforklaring, ved henvisning bilagene. at det er sandt hvad Storbjerg fortæller retten.

Storbjerg Erhverv og Carsten Storbjerg har siden april. 2016 har forsøgt at stoppe Jyske banks Svigagtige optræden mod virksomheden, ved på alle mulige måder at råbe banken og bankens ledelse op.

Appendix 164. P. 4-6.

Bilag 164.

Side 5. af 6.

Når Storbjerg Erhverv oplyser Koncernen direkte at Storbjerg Erhverv udsættes for SVIG, FALSK og udnyttelse, og at Ledelsen ikke griber ind, er ledelsen medansvarlig hvilket er oplyst der 13 medlemmer i koncernledelsen eks. ved Bilag 80. 81. 86. 87. 88. og øvrige breve Bilag 77. 79. 82. 83. 84. 85. 99. 100. 104.

Det gøres Gældende at Koncern Bestyrelsen mindst siden maj 2016, har været fuldt ud bekendt med sagen og dermed er medvirken til at forhold som skrevet i mange breve til Jyske bank eksempel 28-12-2017 ved Bilag 85. er fremlagt Domstolen med bilag 03-01-2018 som værende Svig.

Det gøres gældende at Jyske bank har handlet både uetisk og i Ond Tro, og fortsat handler i Ond Tro, når banken overfor retten fastholder Bilag 1. men nu 05-11-2018 bare ændret forklaring i forhold til tidligere forklaring om rentesikringen, nu bare er til et overliggende lån.

Jyske bank har Siden 2013 fastholdt Bilag 1. til at været en rentesikring for et underliggende lån, ses på Bilag 124. fra 06-03-2014 og overfor retten i svarskriftet af 10-09-2015

Det gøres gældende at Jyske Bank jyske bank på alle måder har vildledt og nægtet kunde agtindsigt Bilag 89. side 3. for Storbjerg ikke måtte opdage, at jyske bank udsatte deres syge kunde Bilag 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. for svigagtig optræden

Jyske bank kræver og har taget overpant, og flere sikkerheder som pantebrevet Bilag 73. se Bilag H. 11-05-2009, uden modydelse, dette Bilag 73. er lavet kun 5 dage efter Jyske Bank 06-05-2009 ved Bilag AG. Meget klart oplyses på side 4/6 at tidligere tilbud Bilag Y. og pantebrev Bilag AC. er bortfaldet.

Jyske bank kræver grundet Bilag 1. at kunden afvikles hvilket sker ved Bilag 74. A. i forhold til den pant og sikkerhed som jyske bank har taget Bilag 93. kræver Jyske Bank alligevel Storbjerg underskriver 2 salgssfuldmagter, disse underskrives 28-07-2013 Bilag 94. A. hvor der er taget Advokat forbehold i forbindelse med Jyske Banks rådgivning, dette advokatforbehold, nægter Jyske bank Storbjerg at tage, og kræver nye underskrifter, og at salgssfuldmagter er uden advokat forbehold Bilag 70.

Da Carsten Storbjerg helst ikke vil underskrive uden at have et advokatforbehold, spærre Jyske Bank alle konti, således Storbjerg Erhverv kan vælge at gå konkurs, og Carsten Storbjerg gå personlig konkurs eller at underskrive Bilag 70. uden advokat forbehold.

Jyske bank har allerede sikrede sig, at blive forfordelt ved en konkurs ved det i Bilag 78. oplyste pant og sikkerhed, at Jyske bank dermed ville tage alt fra virksomheden, og fra familien bag ejerskabet.

Carsten Storbjerg Underskriver Bilag 69. 18-12-2013 og hæfter de 2 salgssfuldmagter sammen med Bilag 96. og sender dem til Jyske bank, som stadig ikke har ophævet spærring af kundens konti 28-07-2014 Bilag 101. Der går over 1 år. før Jyske bank stopper med at administrer Storbjerg Erhverv. og hæver spærningen.

Med hensyn til fremlagte Bilag AC. vores Bilag 141. og Bilag Ø. Vores Bilag 149. Som jyske bank fremlægger 5 nov. 2018. har vi ikke fået en kopi med underskrift, og har ikke tildelt det betydning eftersom

Bilag 164.

Side 6. af 6.

Nicolai Hansen 10-07-2008 oplyst at banken kun ville bruge disse bilag, hvis vi hjemtog lånet efter tilbuddet Bilag Y.

Jyske bank har fremlagt Bilag Z. med udstreget matr. Nr. begrænset for tilbuddet Bilag Y. ved fuldmagt Bilag 7. Jyske Bank har på et tidspunkt før fremsendelse af Bilag AB. 15-04-2009 rettet i originale Bilag 147.

Bilag 92. Men hensyn til renter, så betaler man først renter fra det tidspunkt man hjemtager et lån. Jyske bank har uden der fandtes noget lån, eller gyldigt tilbud startet med at beregne renter 30-12-2008 ved udnyttelse af Bilag 1. der i øvrigt uden aftale.

Når Jyske Bank i øvrigt selv opfordre til Konstruktiv dialog Bilag 90. 17-11-2015 forstår Carsten Storbjerg ikke jyske bank nægter at svare på nogle af os fremsendte breve.

Storbjerg Erhverv opfordrer Jyske Bank til at fremlægge beviser for, hvis der er aftalt og lavet en ny renteswap aftale 16-07-2008 Bilag 1. For en rentesikring af Bilag Y. hvis dette lånetilbud blev hjemtaget, som Nicolai Hansen skriver i Bilag 29. A

Storbjerg fastholder at jyske bank kontinuerligt har udsat Familien og virksomheden for løbende svigagtighed, og udnyttelse dette er sket ved misbrug bilag, tilbageholdelse af oplysninger, altså talt usandt, og at jyske bank, ved deres advokater har været uærlige overfor Domstolen, og også der har talt usandt.

Dette er sagen som vi har fremlagt den, og denne fastholdes, vores advokat har taget forbehold for erstatningssag, vores krav er opdelt på 17 punkter.

Vi er med denne vidneforklaring overfor retten, klar til at den kan blive hovedforhandlet, vi anmoder at Jyske Bank dømmes for vildledning udnyttelse og svig, at Bilag 1. er falsk eller ugyldig, efter aftalelovens § 11. 30. 31. 32. 33. 36. og skal betale de af storbjerg Erhvervs opgjorte tab, der pr. 30-08-2019 er opgjort til 2.597.474 kr. samt med tillæg af procesrenter af 1.578.666 kr.

Den underskrevet vidneforklaring således fremlagt som bevismateriale.

Mvh

Anne-Marie Skaarup

&

Carsten Skaarup

Storbjerg Erhverv

Søvej 5.

3100 Hornbæk.

22227713

Mail skaarup56@gmail.com

Lundgren's lawyers at Dan Terkildsen and Emil Hald Wendelbo Vinstrøm present Appendix 164.

An appendix in which the client's allegations of the client are briefly and precisely described, including fraud and false allegations against Jyske Bank A/S.

[Read the complaint 05-06-2020. and the complaint documents that have been inserted.](#)

Since Lundgren's lawyers 2 September 2019. again without entering some of the client's claims in pleading 2. and at the same time, concealing the pleading from the client, Lundgren's can hide that Lundgren has not presented some of the client's claims against Jyske Bank, why the client, in advance lost.

The worst part of the case here is not that Lundgrens has accepted a bribe for not presenting the client's fraud and false allegations against Jyske Bank.

But that the entire bar council is corrupt enough to cover it up, to help Jyske Bank deceive their customer, without the court's intervention.

If there is no corruption / cronyism in the Bar Council to cover up the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers have been bribed by Jyske Bank not to present the client's fraud and false case against Jyske Bank.

Then the bar council and their members respond to my request to print, and signed first the 6 points, then points 1-24 together, which are all with reference to the rejection of all the 27 complaints against Lundgrens and Dan Terkildsen.

So there is clarity and that the rules are the same for everyone who uses Danish lawyers.

So if the judges and lawyers on the board have another explanation, that in my case the client has NO right to know, what their own lawyer does and presents, and the client is not allowed to participate in their own cases, then of course it must apply to everyone else, and if that is not the case, the Bar Council is governed by corruption.

Which is the reason why the board's members have approved, that the client must pay DKK 232,000 to Lundgren's lawyers for not presenting the client's allegations against Jyske Bank A/S, since the clients of Danish lawyer have no rights.

And therefore, Lundgrens lawyer has sued the client to get the DKK 232,000 for the 3 pleadings, which contain nothing of what the client employed Lundgren's lawyers for.

Following the Bar Council's decision on 30-06-2021. may Danish lawyers like Lundgren's employees mislead their clients, and at the same time undermine the client's finances, while the lawyers deliberately and dishonestly is working against the client, and hide this as best the lawyers can, as here by hiding court books and pleadings from the client, as according to a unanimous panel of lawyers consisting of lawyers and judges have no rights.

Therefore, the client, who is an earlier client in Lundgrens lawyer says, now I want to know if the Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard are involved, or if they want to distance themselves from the abuse of power by the bar association to cover up organized crime, where Jyske Bank A/S is behind.



These picture are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures.



This could very well become Denmark's biggest scandal ever.

And there is only 1 man, Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup from Hornbæk, who seemed to want to put an end to corruption in Denmark, and who reaches far into the Danish State authorities, in reality it is about camaraderie and looking after one's own financial interests, before legal certainty and for the Danes' human rights.

I believe that this in my story proves that Denmark, from at least members of the Danish Courts, is governed by

corruption, when the state's financial interests in a company, shows to mean more to the state than the Danes' legal certainty, therefore large parts of the Danish authorities and many lawyers, chose to cover up that Jyske Bank not only have committed fraud, but also have bribed Lundgren's lawyers not to present the client's fraud case against Jyske Bank, we only need the Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to consider the 6 questions, that are connected with in a total of 24 points.

I only have a desire for dialogue, but there is none as of today 7 February 2023. as no one that has dared to answer, or talk to me, therefore I am again sending your an email to the many actors in my story about corrupt Denmark.

Is it because I as the victim of a conspiracy, and I can see, that the Danish state can help Denmark's second largest bank to expose me to fraud, that is decidedly Justice murder, by solely to cover up Jyske Bank A/S and their many criminal law violations-

Or am I just a fool, as the employees of Jyske Bank said to one of their customers, who allowed themselves to question the accuracy of what is written on Jyske Bank cars.









JUSTITSMINISTERIET

Departementets pejlemærker

- Vi realiserer regeringens retspolitiske mål.
- Vi hjælper andre ministerier med at realisere regeringens mål.
- Vi tager ansvar for at levere juridisk holdbare og brugbare løsninger til tiden.
- Vi møder alle med åbenhed, respekt og god service.
- Vi driver en sammenhængende og effektiv koncern, der sikrer et trygt og sikkert samfund.



Complaint attachment 05-06-2020. 30-31 What is the client asking Lundgren's lawyers for, here you can find all the documents that are in the complaint against Lundgren and Dan Terkildsen, which emphasize that Lundgren's lawyers and many employees have deliberately and dishonestly opposed justice for their client, since Jyske Bank had hired and paid Lundgren for to help Jyske Bank, and the bar council believed that the client did not understand this cooperation either.



Page 1 of 43. 27-08-2019 to Lundgren's lawyers. [READ THE PDF DOKUMENT HERRE.](#)
Compare this document with the one presented by Lundgrens on 02-09-2019. and which Lundgrens will not make the client see before the presentation, and which Lundgrens will not disclose to the client either after presentation, and at the same time remember that Jyske Bank has hired Lundgrens to advise Jyske Bank in a transaction for 600 million Danish kroner, which is an agreement The Bar Council has definitely not contributed to Lundgrens presenting anything other than what the client employed Lundgrens for, as the Bar Council believes that the lawyer has presented what was best for the client.

Lawyer appointed by Supreme Court Judge Kurt Rasmussen at the head.

Has decided, among other things, that there are no violations of good legal practice

When a lawyer presents something other than what the client asks for.

Just as the bar council also has decided, that the client does not have any demands, or has some right to see what their lawyer will present in the client's case.

And that neither before the client's lawyer presents a pleading, nor after the client's lawyer has presented a pleading in the client's case, and regardless of the fact that the client has given the lawyer clear and distinct instructions that the lawyer must not present anything to the court, without the client's first has given its approval.

Meant pleadings and court documents.

Then the collective board of lawyers believes, that the client has no right to decide for himself, and have no right to decide what the client must be informed and presented in their case, that is something the lawyer himself and all alone decides, and then the bar council writes, that the client must trust their lawyer, this is impossible, since the client has employed a law firm that is corrupt, the Bar Council does not believe that the client has any right to decide for himself.

[This decision was given on 30-06-2021.](#) and adopted by, among others.
 Supreme Court Judge Kurt Rasmussen, Jens Steen Jensen who is a partner in Kromann Reumert lawyers, Birgitte Frølund who is a partner in Horten lawyers and Henrik Hyltoft from Dansk Erhverv.

Which the association's chairman Martin Lavesen from DLA Piper lawyers completely agrees with.

Understood in this way, from now on, it is the lawyers who alone decide in the cases in which they are employed to help a client.



MED LOV SKAL MAN LAND BYGGE.

With law, you shall land build

When even several judges are corrupt in that they demonstrably and dishonorably oppose justice, we must use them when their task is actually to protect the state's financial interests in the largest Danish companies.

In order to know, if it is an infringement on my right to decide for myself, I have requested the bar association to sign that these rules apply to everyone in Denmark, the bar association refuses to sign this, the bar association does not respond.

The Bar Council would also not publish either the complaint or the board's decision, as the legal community did not believe that their decision was of any public interest.

So the public will not know, that the Bar Council has decided that all clients who seek out a lawyer in Denmark have lost the right to decide on their own case.

Which can be a violation of human rights.

Since the Bar Council's decisions cannot be appealed, or brought elsewhere, I am writing to Denmark's highest authorities, the Prime Minister and the Ministry of Justice, I am doing this to get the Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and the Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard to confirm that the Danish people lose their right to decide for themselves, at the same moment they hire a Danish lawyer, and thus become lawless.



If the Prime Minister, together with the Minister of Justice decides, that it is legally correct, according to the principles of the Administration of Justice Act, that clients who hire a Danish lawyer lose the right to decide for themselves, then Denmark can probably be sued for the Human Rights Court.

And if the prime minister, together with the minister of justice, decides that it is only me, who has lost the right to be able to decide freely in my own case, it is really a case of judicial murder.

Here is Denmark's sharpest minds, such as judges, the Danish Parliament, the Financial Supervisory Authority, and lawyers from some of the country's largest law firms, they are up against the small tradesman, who does not even have any legal or other bookish training, and who is also dyslexic.

How do you think you yourself cope, corruption against the truth, a war Jyske Bank A/S and the bank's management with CEO Anders Christian Dam has started, and which I have a several times urged Jyske Bank's management to find a solution for themselves, the only one I have encountered, is total silence.

WHAT ARE YOU AFRAID OF.



Kurt Rasmussen.
foto advokatnævnet.



Birgitte Frølund
foto Horten advokater.



Jens Steen Jensen.
foto Kromann Reumert.



Henrik Hyltoft.
foto Dansk Erhverv.



Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård, and Martin Lavesen.
foto. DLA PIPER.



In other words, the Danish State, in order to promote its own financial interests in Jyske Bank, is instrumental in depriving me of my rights, and the right to self-determination.

How do I think the Danish state, can it really be complicit in Jyske Bank's financial crime, which was carried out by several people together in association.

But since the Danish police are not allowed to investigate Jyske Bank A/S, which is surely a political decision, again because the Danish State puts their own financial interests, before the legal security of the Danes.

As when it applies to the state's own financial interests in the Jyske Bank A/S group.

Then the state's interests are above Danish law.

This has become a problem for the legal certainty of all Danes, and if I don't write about this problem, who will?

The state is undermining Danes' legal certainty in this way, since they the population have no right to decide for themselves.

The right to decide for themselves has temporarily been taken away from them, by the employees of the court board, and partners from Kromann Reumert lawyers, Horten lawyers, Danish business (Dansk erhverv.)

Bilag 240. side 1.

Klagens Bilag 134. side 1.

Klagens anklager mod Lundgrens / Partner Dan Terkildsen.

For IKKE at have ført klagers sag mod Jyske Bank omhandlende klagers påstande som de er fremlagt i EKS.

Bilag 40. 106. 107. 108. 109. 112. 114. 115.

For ikke at ville dele eks. det af Dan Terkildsen Og Emil Hald Vendelbo Winstrøm til retten 02-09-2019. fremlagte, Se Bilag 138. fra 05-09-2019. Må vi få en kopi af processkrift 2. DAN svare ikke, og sender intet.

PROCESSKRIFT II

Retten i Viborg
Sagsnr.: BS 1-698/2015

2. september 2019

J.nr.: 62032

Storbjerg Erhverv ApS
CVR nr.: 27 37 44 76
Søgårdsvej 25
3080 Tikøb

(advokat Dan Terkildsen)

mod

Jyske Bank A/S
CVR.: 17 61 66 17
Vestergade 8-16
8600 Silkeborg

Lundgrens Partner Dan Terkildsen.

Opfordres til at fremægge bevis for at dette processkrift 2. er aftalt og godkendt af klager. før det af Lundgrens advokater 02-09-2019. ca. kl. 22.00 er fremlagt retten.

&

Opfordres til at dokumentere at have sendt klager en kopi efter klager 5/9-219 **Bilag 138.** anmoder om en kopi.

&

Opfordres til at dokumenter at have sendt klager en kopi af **Processkrift B. af 20-03-2019**, og der givet klager mulighed for at komme med sine bemærkninger.

Som da **Mette Marie Nielsen 27-11-2019. kl. 18.45** sendte kopi af **Processkrift A. fra 05-11-2019. Bilag 34**, hvortil klager kommer med sine beærkninger 28-11-2018. kl 11.57 **Bilag 36.** Klagers bemærkninger bliver ingureret af Lundgrens, måske derfor har Lundgrens Dan Terkildsen, besluttet at klager **ikke måtte få en kopi af Processkrift A,** fra 20 marts 2019. da det alleræde fra Lundgrens side, var besluttet at man ikke ville have klientens bemærkninger.

Klager fastholder de i klagens påstand om at sammenarbejdet med Ledelsen i Jyske Bank A/S omkring Bilag 12 er grunden til Lundgrens Dan Terkildsen i ond tro ikke har fremlagt klagers sag for domstolen.

Dan Terkildsen **Opfordres til** at bevise at Klienten har aftalt med Lundgrens ikke skulle fremlægge Klientens påstande

Klagens Bilag 134. side 3.
Klagens anklager mod Lundgrens / Partner Dan Terkildsen.

Bilag 240. side 2.

For IKKE at have ført klagers sag mod Jyske Bank omhandlende klagers påstande som de er fremlagt i EKS.

Bilag 40. 106. 107. 108. 109. 112. 114. 115.

For ikke at ville dele eks. det af Dan Terkildsen Og Emil Hald Vendelbo Winstrøm til retten 02-09-2019. fremlagte, Se Bilag 138. fra 05-09-2019. Må vi få en kopi af processkrift 2. DAN svare ikke, og sender intet.

Sagsøger skal hermed komme med følgende supplerende bemærkninger, idet de i stævningen nedlagte påstande fastholdes i deres helhed.

1. SUPPLERENDE BEMÆRKNINGER

Dirækte modstridende med klager skriver Dan at klager har aftalt og Godkendt en rente swap 16-07-2008, på trods at Klienten siger at det har han ikke.

Det fastholdes, at nærværende sag fortsat omfatter rådgivning i forbindelse med indgåelsen af renteswapaftalen af 16. juli 2008, fremlagt som bilag 1, ligesom sagen tillige vedrører det forhold, at der ikke er indgået en renteswapaftale for lånet stort DKK 4.300.000, der blev optaget i juni 2009, hvorfor der ikke eksisterer et aftaleretligt grundlag for opkrævning i henhold til ovennævnte renteswapaftale.

Klager skriver gentagen til flere ansatte i Lundgrens Emil Mette Dan at kun en aftale af 15-07-2008 er aftalt. Bilag 134. s.11 og s. 14 denne lukkes 30-12-2008

Til de af sagsøgte fremførte anbringender for så vidt angår sagsøgers tiltrædelse af renteswapaftalen, bemærkes det, at sagsøger tiltrådte renteswapaftalen af 16. juli 2008 under forudsætning af, at der blev hjemtaget et lån stort DKK. 4.328.000.



Når sagsøgte bestrider sagsøgers anbringende om, at der ikke kan eksistere en renteswapaftale for et lån der aldrig er udbetalt, gør sagsøgte modsætningsvis gældende, at der kan indgås aftaler om bytte af renter af en hovedstol der aldrig udbetales. Dette forekommer som værende i direkte strid med god skik-reglerne for finansielle virksomheder, idet sagsøger i så fald skal betale for et bytte af renter, der aldrig har eksisteret og således betaler sagsøgte for en fiktiv ydelse.

Lundgrens Dan Terkildsen har ikke sendt klager en kopi af Processkrift B. fra 20-03-2019.

Det bestrides, når sagsøgte i sit processkrift B gør gældende, at renteswapaftalen af 16. juli 2008 ikke indeholder henvisninger til Storbjerg Erhvervs lån. Af renteswapaftalens side fremgår det, at lånets hovedstol er DKK 4.328.000, hvilket er en direkte henvisning til hovedstolen på det ikke-optagne lån.

Sagsøgtes henvisning til U.2016.337 H er irrelevant for nærværende sag, da denne netop omhandler hæftelse efter indfrielsen af et lån. Der er i U.2016.337 H således tale om et faktisk eksisterende lån der er blevet hjemtaget, udbetalt og indfriet, hvilket ingenlunde er tilfældet i nærværende sag, hvor renteswapaftalen ikke kan forbindes med et optaget lån. Hertil skal det bemærkes, at Højesteret ikke forholdt sig til hvorvidt der var tale om samlet aftalekompleks.

Det gøres derudover gældende, at sagsøgte burde have været opmærksom på det oprindelige låns ikke-eksistens, samt det nye, hjemtagne lån stort DKK 4.300.000, herunder især da det oprindelige lån stort DKK 4.328.000 ikke længere var tinglyst på pantebrevet, men at der i stedet var tinglyst det nye lån stort DKK 4.328.000 fra Nykredit, siden den 22. maj 2009.

Der er heller ikke optaget noget nyt stort lån på 4.328.000 kr. som Lundgrens her i retsforhold, skriver har været tinglyst siden 22 maj 2009.

Det virker bevidst og ondt at man som advokat i Lundgrens skrive så meget forkert, og dirækte imod klienten instrukser fremlægger noget andet, på trods at have fået klare instrukser om at INTET MÅ FREMLÆGGES UDEN KLIENTENS GODKENDELSE. Bilag 114 & 115.

[Appendix 138. 05-09-2019. at 15.14 Wants to see Prosekskrift 2 Dan does not answer. Dan writes at 15.20 we need to discuss the strategy](#)

While I wait for the state authorities and Supreme Court judges such as Kurt Rasmussen and Ole Hasselgaard, Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard and Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen to confirm that a lawyer does not need to answer the client nor hand over any pleadings, if the lawyer himself does not want it.

Which Supreme Court judge Kurt Rasmussen, from the Danish Courts Agency and lawyers like Birgitte Frølund from Horten lawyers. / Jens Steen Jensen from Kromann Reumert lawyers. / Lawyer Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgaard from the former Consumer Complaints Board. now the Danish Medicines Agency. / Henrik Hyltoft from Danish business, agrees, which I will ask them to sign, and which they will only refuse if the committee's members are corrupt.

Martin Lavesen from DLA PIPER lawyers, who agrees with the 5 members of the bar council, is also requested to sign.

I am probably small, and you have power, which you abuse, to cover up criminal acts, as well as the fact that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers, to keep the client out of the case against Jyske Bank, which is emphasized by Dan Terkildsen, who does not want to hand it over pleadings which Lungrens himself has drawn up and submitted to the Court.



These pictures are not on [http://http://www.banknyt.dk](http://www.banknyt.dk) and are just mood pictures.

29.10.2021 18.49

Gmail - VS: Modp. processkrift i Storbjerg



Bilag Bl.

Anne-marie Skaarup <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>

VS: Modp. processkrift i Storbjerg

Anne-marie Skaarup <[REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Til: Dan Terkildsen <dat@lundgrens.dk>

5. september 2019 kl. 15.14

Må vi få en kopi af det processkrift 2.
[Citeret tekst er skjult]

Bilag Bl. 1-3.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1/?ik=010e76a710&view=pt&search=all&permmsgid=msg-a%3Ar4754186130159377356&simpl=msg-a%3Ar4754...> 1/1

5 September 2019. The client requests Dan Terkildsen for a copy of Processkrift 2 from 2 September 2019. Which Lundgrens himself has made and presented to the court, one of the process documents Lundgren's lawyers would not hand over to the client. Thinks that Jyske Banka's bribery is instrumental in this action.



Anne-marie Skaarup [redacted].com>

VS: Modp. processkrift i Storbjerg

Dan Terkildsen <dat@lundgrens.dk>

5. september 2019 kl. 15.20

Til: Anne-marie Skaarup [redacted].com>

Cc: Emil Hald Winstrøm <emw@lundgrens.dk>, Pernille Hellesøe <pht@lundgrens.dk>

Vi har helt sikkert behov for at drøfte strategien da det er åbenbart at vi ikke er enige.

Emil meddeler retten at vi er helt enige i behovet for en udsættelse.

Sendt fra min iPhone

[Citeret tekst er skjult]

[cid:16d01604caf4ce8e91]

.....

Lundgrens Advokatpartnerselskab
Tuborg Boulevard 12
DK-2900 Hellerup

Tel

+45 3525 2535<tel:+4535252535>

Mob

+45 2811 9513

CVR-nr.

36 44 20 42

.....

[cid:16d01604cb05b006a2] <image003.jpg><<https://www.linkedin.com/in/dan-terkildsen-5962182/>> [cid:16d01604cb0772f6c4]
<<http://www.lundgrens.dk/>> [cid:16d01604cb08546ed5] <<https://www.facebook.com/lundgrenslaw/>>

..... E-mail Confidentiality and Data Protection Notice

This e-mail and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information legally protected from any disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or their agent, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying, or storage of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited. Data Protection: read how we process personal data here<<https://lundgrens.dk/en/privacy-cookie-policy>> . We do not accept liability for any data corruption, interception, unauthorised amendments, tampering or virus or the consequences hereof with the respect to our e-mails.

Fra: Emil Hald Winstrøm <emw@lundgrens.dk><mailto:emw@lundgrens.dk>>

Sendt: 5. september 2019 09:23

Til: Dan Terkildsen <dat@lundgrens.dk><mailto:dat@lundgrens.dk>>

Emne: Modp. processkrift i Storbjerg [RL-WSDOCS.FID969510]

Hej Dan

Modparten har fremkommet med processkrift (vedhæftet) hvori der anmodes om omberømmelse henset til mængden af nye bilag, samt at sagsforberedelsen genoptages.

Med venlig hilsen

Emil Hald Winstrøm
Advokatfuldmægtig

[cid:16d01604caf4ce8e91]

.....

Bilag Bl. 2-3.

Dan Terkildsen responds to the request of 5 September to get a copy of process document 2. from 2 September. 2019.

But just writing that we do not agree with what Lundgren's lawyers want.



Partner of Lundgren's lawyer partner company, warning.

[Lundgren's lawyers are corrupt after Jyske Bank bribed Lundgren's lawyers not to present the client's fraud allegations against Jyske Bank](#), you will find de Lundgren's lawyers in Regus Management properties.

[Meet the corrupt Lundgrens at Tuborg Boulevard 12. 2900 Hellerup.](#)

Remember now. A false or corrupt lawyer is a threat to the Danish legal community..

Watch here a couple of videos where an earlier client talks about the corrupt Lundgren lawyers.

Carsten talks here about the corrupt lawyers who live for rent with REGUS in the same building, videos are all in Danish.

[Watch the video. of 19 March 2022. click on LINK.](#) time 3.33. And listen to the Warning against the corrupt Lundgren lawyers, Dan Terkildsen, Mette Marie Nielsen, Emil Hald Vendelbo, [about the complaint 05-06-2020](#), and the problem of corruption.

[Watch the video. of 19 March 2022. click on LINK.](#) time 6.26. And listen to Warning against the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers, The corrupt Lundgren's lawyers took against Jyske Bank's bribe to not submit a fraud claim.

[Watch the video. of 19 March 2022. click on LINK.](#) time 3.20. And listen to the Warning against the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers, The corrupt Lundgren's lawyers took against Jyske Bank's bribe in order not to submit a fraud claim against Jyske Bank.

[Watch the video. of 19 March 2022. click on LINK.](#) time 3.04. Jyske Bank car at Christiansburg the Parliament, why do Danish politicians support Jyske bank committing fraud, have ben presented to the Danish Parliament since the first time April 2019. but the Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen covers up with the authorities everything about Jyske Bank's use of fraud.

[Watch the video. of 18 May 2021. click on LINK.](#) time 0.46. The Jyske Bank car visits Jyske Bank Vesterbrogade 9, and i say, Jyske Bank has committed document forgery and fraud, and it is not true, then you must contact your lawyers as lying is a crime and can result in 2 years in prison, but if it is true ? then Jyske Bank has a problem, namely me, because I won't stop.



Customers who accuse Jyske Bank of using the document as false, and fraudulent also say in videos to Jyske Bank that you have a problem, and your problem is me.

Which Jyske Bank doesn't care about, since the bank is still here on February 4, 2023. is completely silent, and none of the many actors either in Jyske Bank, the State, authorities or judges and lawyers dare to answer or make accusations to the defrauded customer, whether that there is a violation of the law when the customer tells publicly that Jyske Bank is a criminal organization.

The Danish Penal Code.

Straffeloven. § 267. Whoever makes or disseminates a statement or other message or performs an act that is likely to offend someone's honor, shall be punished for defamation by a fine or prison up to 1 year, jf. dog §§ 268 og 269.

Jyske Bank and their accomplices could refer to the Criminal Code [§ 267.](#) and [§ 268.](#) but no one dares, as everyone knows that Jyske Bank commits fraud, which is supported by the Danish state, by all authorities covering up the crime they know that Jyske Bank is demonstrably behind, and which was carried out in association and therefore aggravating circumstances, which is the case with the fraud, forgery, bribery, abuse of power, exploitation, misrepresentation that Jyske Bank is behind.

Her in Danish, you can read an extract of the conditions that make it a case of aggravated circumstances.

Straffeloven § 81

Straffelovens § 81. This must generally be included as an aggravating circumstance when determining the penalty.

- 2)at the deed is carried out by several people in association,
- 3)at the act is particularly planned or part of extensive crime,
- 4)at gerningsmanden tilsigtede, at *gerningen skulle have betydelig alvorligere følger, end den fik,*
- 5)at gerningsmanden har udvist særlig hensynsløshed,
- 6)
- 7)
- 8)at gerningen er begået i udførelsen af offentlig tjeneste eller hverv eller under misbrug af stilling eller særligt tillidsforhold i øvrigt,

8) Here it can come into play that authorities, as well as lawyers, judges who should administer justice, and sure that the law should be equal for everyone, are themselves involved in their actions to cover up criminals and corruption, in order to protect their own interests.

- 9)at gerningsmanden har fået en anden til at medvirke til gerningen ved tvang, svig eller udnyttelse af dennes unge alder eller betydelige økonomiske eller personlige vanskeligheder, manglende indsigt, letsind eller et bestående afhængighedsforhold,
- 10)
- 11)
- 12)
- 13)
- 14)

There are more videos and many more to come.

28-10-2019. Lundgren's client himself had to make a Final Procedural Document the case BS-402 2015-VIB claims Annex 1. is a false date 27-10-2019 is presented with a number of spelling and typographical errors, as Lundgren opposes the presentation of the case.

It was then that the client caught one of the honorable lawyers who presented what Lundgrens was hired for, but which Jyske Bank paid Lundgrens not to present.

APPENDIX 169. document of proceedings 3. The case against Jyske Bank there by Morten Ulrik Gade and CEO Anders Christian Dam for being instrumental in Jyske Bank A/S being able to deceive their customers, forge documents and lie to the court, accomplice Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers and Philip Baruch, Lundgrens by Dan Terkildsen, Mette Marie Nielsen, Emil Hald Vendelbo. 04-05-2020. APPENDIX 169. copy of procedural document 3. 27-10-2019. provisional Final Process Document BS-402 2015-VIB.

Appendix 204. 04-05-2020. pleading III Carsten against Jyske Bank for use of fraudulent behavior and forgery of documents.

23-12-2020. Document 4. The case against Jyske Bank, where Morten Ulrik Gade and CEO Anders Christian Dam are involved in Jyske Bank A/S being able to deceive their customers, forge documents and lie to the court, involved Lund Elmer Sandager lawyers and Philip Baruch, Lundgrens by Dan Terkildsen, Mette Marie Nielsen, Emil Hald Vendelbo. 23-12-2020. Document 4. THE COURT has been presented with the case BS-402-2015-VIB.

14-10-2021. processkrift 5.. Mod Jyske Bank A/S for bondefangeri, og brugen af dokumentfalsk og bedrageri så som mandatsvig. Vidner bliver blandt andet CEO Anders Christian Dam, Philip Baruch, Dan Terkildsen, Morten Ulrik Gade, Nicolai


Hansen, Casper Dam Olsen da disse har en central rolle i den organiserede kriminalitet som Jyske Bank koncernen har stået bag.



3.1 Lundgrens agerer på grundlag af og i overensstemmelse med klientens instrukser, og klienten og Lundgrens aftaler løbende den juridiske bistand og omfanget af opdraget samt klientens og andres medvirken. Lundgrens er dog berettiget til at nægte at efterkomme en instruks, såfremt dette ville krænke lovgivning eller andre regler, f.eks. regler om god advokatskik.



Jens Grunnet-Nilsson. foto Lundgrens. **Mette Marie Nielsen.** foto Lundgrens. **Emil Hald Winstrøm.** foto Lundgrens. **Pernille Hellesøe.** foto Lundgrens.



Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen. foto Lundgrens. **Dan Terkildsen.** foto Lundgrens.



21 / 06 / 2018 - 13:51

—

Lundgrens har ydet
juridisk bistand til
Jyske Bank i
forbindelse med en
trecifret
millionhandel

advokatnævnet

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup
Søvej 5
3100 Hornbæk

KRONPRINSESSEGADE 28
1306 KØBENHAVN K

DATO: 1. juli 2020
SAGSNR.: 2020 - 1933
Bedes oplyst ved henvendelse

**Sagsnr. 2020 - 1933, Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup klager over Lundgrens
Advokatpartnerselskab - adfærd**

Advokatnævnet har behandlet Deres klage over Lundgrens Advokatpartnerselskabs adfærd i forbindelse med en retssag.

Advokatnævnet har gennemgået sagen. Når Advokatnævnet gennemgår en sag, bedømmer nævnet de oplysninger, der er i sagen, og sammenholder oplysningerne med eventuel praksis på området.


På denne baggrund har Advokatnævnet besluttet at afvise Deres klage, fordi nævnet finder, at det ikke er godtgjort, at Lundgrens Advokatpartnerselskab har overtrådt de regler, der gælder for advokaters professionelle opførsel (god advokatskik), jf. retsplejelovens § 126, stk. 1.

Advokatnævnet har i den forbindelse særligt lagt vægt på, at klagen ses at angå enkelte advokaters adfærd, og Lundgrens Advokatpartnerselskab ses ikke at være ansvarlig for en eventuel overtrædelse af god advokatskik.

Advokatnævnets afgørelse betyder, at nævnet ikke behandler Deres klage yderligere.

Reglen om, at Advokatnævnet på denne måde kan afvise klager, står i retsplejelovens § 147 b, stk. 3, og i § 17, stk. 2, i bekendtgørelse nr. 548 af 28. april 2020 om Advokatnævnets og kredsbestyrelsernes virksomhed ved behandling af klager over advokater m.v.

På Advokatnævnets vegne

f. Astrid Kastberg Petersen


Side 40. I [klagen 05-06-2020](#). fremgår det mindst på side 40. at der er flere sammen fra Lundgrens advokater, om at modarbejde klienten. [se alle bilag her](#).

Tekst fra klagen.

Og i strid med klagers instrukser, at intet må sendes til retten uden klagers godkendelse, dette skriver klager, meget klart i bare disse [Bilag 114](#). [Bilag 115](#). [Bilag 118](#). [Bilag 130](#). Handler Lundgrens advokater / ansatte og imod klientens instrukser.

Dan Terkildsen. Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen, Emil Hald Windstrøm, Pernille Hellesøe, Jens Grunnet-Nielsson, Mette Marie Nielsen.

Og hvem mere som advokat og partner Dan Terkildsen har instrueret i at modsætte sig klientens instrukser, og handle direkte i modstrid med klientens ønsker, og handle mod klientens instrukser.

Og samtidig med at de mange ansatte i Lundgrens Partnerselskab, der skulle hjælpe Lundgrens klient, med at få fremlagt klientens svig og falsk sage mod Jyske Bank, hvilket alle i fællesskab skjulte over for deres klient at Jyske Bank har indgået et million stort sammenarbejde med Lundgrens advokater [Bilag 12](#). efter at klienten [30-01-2018. Bilag 2](#). reelt anmoder og derefter ansatte Lundgrens til at fremlægge en sag mod Jyske Bank som indeholder flere forhold af Svig, se det vedhæftede [brev af 30-01-2018. Bilag 3](#). til Lundgrens advokater ved Dan Terkildsen hvor **klienten oplyser der er flere forhold, bestående af SVIG OG FALSK.**

Klienten finder først 21 september 2019. [Bilag 12](#). og det på Lundgrens egen hjemmeside at Lundgrens mellem februar og marts 2018.har indledt et betydeligt og stort økonomisk sammenarbejde, **dette sammenarbejde påstår Dan Terkildsen han ikke har haft noget kendskab til. og har sagt det ikke har haft nogle betydninger for Lundgrens arbejde, hvilket klienten er blevet sagsøgt for, eftersom Lundgrens vil have 232.000 dkk for ikke at have fremlagt nogle af klientens svig og falske påstande mod Jyske Bank.**

Det er tydeligt at korrespondancen mellem klient og Lundgrens dokumenter at Lundgrens bevidst og uhæderligt har skjult deres sammenarbejde med Jyske Bank for bevidst at skade den lille klient, hvilket advokatnævnets medlemmer har vedtaget ikke er nogle overtrædelse af god advokatskik.

Vi har at gøre med alvorligt korruption blandt danske advokater, hvilket det danske advokatnævnet ved deres handlinger selv er blevet en del af.

Da advokat nævnet er selvbestemmende, og ingen kan blande sig i nævnets beslutninger, kan medlemmerne selv virke ved korruption og det uden indblanding, [heller ikke fra Justitsministeren](#).

Derfor kan ingen stole den korruption som styrer Danmark, ingen kan stole på de lidt større advokat virksomheder, som hvis ansatte er at finde i advokatnævnet, når flere ansatte og partnere herfra advokatnævnet er medvirkende til at undergrave retssikkerheden for den enkelte som søger hjælp hos en Danske advokat.

DETTE ER AVORLIG ANKLAGE MOD ADVOKATNÆVNET OG DE NÆVNTE MEDLEMMER, HVILKET HER ER FREMSAT AF DEN LILLE MAND I SAMUNDET, I MOD STATEN OG DOMSTOLENE FOR AT VÆRE INVOLVERET I KORRUPTION, FOR PÅ DEN MÅDE AT KUNNE DÆKKE OVER MINDST DEN KRIMINELLE JYSKE BANK, Hvis dette er en misforståelse , hvorfor er det så ikke en eneste der vil svare.


Min opfordring til advokatnævnets medlemmer, er at de alle besvare mine enkelte spørgsmål, som kan relateres til [klagen af 5 juni 2020](#), og [19 oktober 2020. de 26 opfordringer](#), og [nævnets afgørelse 30 juni 2021](#). og at de stopper med at forholde sig tavse, jeg vil stadig gerne i dialog og derfor skriver jeg det igen, vi har et stort problem med korruption og korrupte advokater i Danmark, i er en del af problemet, derfor [Kurt Rasmussen](#), [Ole Hasselgaard](#) eller [Martin Lavesen](#) ring nu til mig **Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup på +4522227713** og aftale et møde, hvor vi kan gennemgå mine anklager, har jeg taget fejl vil jeg gerne undskylde, men vil i stadig ikke svare eller tale med mig, vil jeg skrive jeres navne i min bog, og deri skrive at i er korrupte, og at advokatnævnet virker ved korruption, med henvisning de mange til tidligere, hvor jeg bad jer om at bekræfte at kammerateri og korruption er det samme.

[05-06-2020. Bilag 233. Klage over Lundgrens advokat partner selskab. v Partner Dan Terkildsen. Til advokatnævnet](#)

[08-09-2020. "Bilag 241." Lundgrens Dan Terkildsen svare på klagen af 5 juni 2020. side 1-4. af 08-09-2020.](#)

[19-09-2020. Hjelpe bilag til Dan Terkildsens svar. Bilag 241. Hjelpe bilag til Bilag 1 - 4. A.B.C.D fremlagt Lundgrens. henvis i Klagen over Advokat Dan Terkildsen.](#)

[19-09-2020 Til advokatsamfundet 28-09-2020. svar på Dan Terkildsens svar af 8 september med 26 opfordringer som Dan Terkildsen udebliver og svare ikke på en eneste af opfordringerne, i klagen sag 2020-1932.](#)




25-09-2020. klagen over advokatselskabet Lundgrens ved Dan Terkildsen
Dan har 25-09-2020. modtaget kopi af klagers afsluttende bemærkninger med de 26 nye
opfordringer.

Med svar frist til 12-10-2020.


Nederst i brev skriver advokatnævnet

Hvis sekretariatet ikke har modtaget Dan Terkildsens afsluttende bemærkninger inden fristens
udløb, vil sagen blive afgjort på det foreliggende grundlag.



30-10-2020. Klagen over Lundgrens, 2020-1932.

Advokatnævnet skriver Dan Terkildsen ikke har svaret på brevet af 25. september 2020. Sekretariatet bede om deres afsluttende bemærkninger senest 9. November (Til Klagers afsluttende bemærkninger af 19 september.)



02-11-2020. Klagen over Lundgrens, 2020-1932.

Med henvisning til brevet 29-10-2020

Vedlagt kopi af brevet af 29. oktober fra klager til advokatnævnet. (Dette blev af 29 oktober blev overbragt til Lundgrens samme dato.)

Sekretariatet bede om deres afsluttende bemærkninger senest 17. November (Til Klagers afsluttende bemærkninger af 19 september.)

advokatnævnet

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup
Søvej 5
3100 Hornbæk

KRONPRINSESSEGADE 28
1306 KØBENHAVN K

DATO: 8. december 2020
SAGSNR.: 2020 - 1932
Bedes oplyst ved henvendelse

11/12

**Sagsnr. 2020 - 1932, Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup klager over advokat Dan
Terkildsen - salær og adfærd**

Advokatnævnets sekretariat har ikke modtaget svar fra advokat Dan Terkildsen på sekretariatets breve af 25. september og 30. oktober 2020.

Sekretariatet anser derfor skriftvekslingen i ovennævnte sag for afsluttet.

Når sekretariatet har afsluttet forberedelsen af sagen, vil sagen blive sendt til Advokatnævnet til afgørelse.

For så vidt angår sagsbehandlingstiden henvises der til sekretariatets indledende brev i sagen.

Med venlig hilsen

Nanna Frostholm

Nanna Frostholm

Telefoniske henvendelser til nævnssekretariatet hverdage kl. 9:30-12:30 – Tlf. 33 96 97 98
klagesagsafdelingen@advokatsamfundet.dk
www.advokatnaevnet.dk

08-12-2020. Advokatnævnet skriver at Lundgrens Partner Dan Terkildsen ikke har svaret nævnet på brev 25-09 og 30-10.

Hvor Advokatsamfundet / nævnet beder om at Dan Terkildsen afgiver sine afsluttende bemærkninger 19-09-2020.

30-06-2021. Kendelse over Lundgrens Dan Terkildsen. 2020-1932. forside og side 1-10. 30-06-2021. KLAGEN afvises, hører under domstolen, således skriver advokatnævnet skriver at det ikke er klienten som bestemmer deres egen sag, / sagen blev kun fremlagt for 5 medlemmer, og ikke mindst 20 medlemmer. kan ikke her se hvem eller hvilket medlemmer der har vurderet klage, og kan derfor heller ikke kontrollere deres relationer op til Jyske Bank, Lundgrens og Lund Elmer Sandager advokater. der alle er involveret i bankens million svindel i et eller andet omfang. / magtens elite har afvist og godkender derfor at en advokat ikke skal varetage klientens interesser.

advokatnævnet

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup
Søvej 5
3100 Hombæk

KRONPRINSESSEGADE 28
1306 KØBENHAVN K

DATO: 3. september 2021
SAGSNR.: 2020 - 1932
Bedes oplyst ved henvendelse

7/1

Sagsnr. 2020 - 1932, Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup klager over advokat Dan Terkildsen - salær og adfærd

I anledning af dine e-mails af henholdsvis 1., 19., 23., 27. og 29. august 2021 kan sekretariatet oplyse, at dine e-mails er blevet læst og forelagt for Advokatnævnets formand.

Sekretariatet kan oplyse, at Advokatnævnet er et lovbestemt og uafhængigt klagenævn, oprettet af Advokatsamfundet efter reglerne i retsplejelovens § 144, stk. 1. Advokatnævnet er omfattet af offentlighedsloven og forvaltningsloven. Nævnets medlemmer er undergivet lovgivningens regler om tavshedspligt.

Nævnet består af en formand og to næstformænd (dommere), ni advokater (som vælges af Advokatsamfundet) og ni offentlighedsrepræsentanter (som udpeges af justitsministeren).

Din sag blev behandlet på et møde i Advokatnævnets 1. afdeling den 29. juni 2021, hvor følgende medlemmer deltog i behandlingen af sagen: Højesteretsdommer Kurt Rasmussen, advokat Birgitte Frølund, advokat Jens Steen Jensen, jurist Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård samt markedsdirektør Henrik Hyltoft. Advokat Henrik Høpner har således ikke deltaget i behandlingen af din sag.

For så vidt angår din bemærkning om, at sagen ikke er at finde på Advokatnævnets hjemmeside, kan det oplyses, at Advokatnævnet offentliggør bøder på 20.000 kr. eller derover. Nævnet kan i forbindelse med afgørelsen af en sag beslutte, at en bøde på under 20.000 kr. skal offentliggøres, herunder især hvis advokatens adfærd har været til skade eller gene for en klient, og kendskabet til afgørelsen vil have en betydning for andres valg af advokat. Nævnet offentliggør ikke frifindende afgørelser eller afgørelser i salærsager.

Advokat nævnet angriber danskernes retssikkerhed, og deres formand Martin Lavesen fra DLA Piper Advokater, dækker fortsat over de kriminelle advokater, som har medvirket til Jyske Bank's Bedrageri mod bankens kunde. (Kunder)

Side 1 af 2.

Brev 03-09-2021. Fra advokat nævnet der har frikendt Lundgrens advokater, selv om disse er korrupte, og tog mod returkommission fra Jyske Bank, for efterfølgende ikke at fremlægge nogle af klientens anbringelser og påstande mod Jyske Bank A/S og iøvrigt ikke vil fortage sig mere.

Når Birgitte Frølund fra Hjorten Advokater. / Jens Steen Jensen fra Kromann Reumert Advokater. / Jurist Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgaard fra Forbrugerklagenævnet. / Henrik Hyltoft fra Dansk erhverv. Og også advokat nævnets formand Martin Lavesen fra DLA Piper Advokater, tolker at det som Lundgrens advokater og særligt deres partner Dan Terkildsen har lavet ikke er en overtrædelse af god advokat skik.

Så må det deles, så andre end Lundgrens advokater også må tage mod bestikkelse, for at deres klienters påstande, for at skuffe i retsforhold.

advokatnævnet

Fremtidige henvendelser vedrørende denne sag vil blive undergivet sædvanlig sagsbehandling, men vil ikke blive besvaret, medmindre henvendelsen indeholder nye, væsentlige oplysninger, som eventuelt kan føre til, at sagens resultat ændres.

På Advokatnævnets vegne

Katrine W. Røsling
Katrine Winkel Røsling

Telefoniske henvendelser til nævnsekretariatet dagligt kl. 9:30-12:30 – Tlf. 33 96 97 98
klagesagsafdelingen@advokatsamfundet.dk
www.advokatnaevnet.dk

Side 1 af 2.

Brev 03-09-2021. Fra advokat nævnet der 30-06-2020 har frikendt Lundgrens advokater i afgørelsen 2020-1932. selv om disse Lundgrens tydeligvis er korrupte, og tog mod den returkommission Jyske Bank A/S.



3.1 Lundgrens agerer på grundlag af og i overensstemmelse med klientens instrukser, og klienten og Lundgrens aftaler løbende den juridiske bistand og omfanget af opdraget samt klientens og andres medvirken. Lundgrens er dog berettiget til at nægte at efterkomme en instruks, såfremt dette ville krænke lovgivning eller andre regler, f.eks. regler om god advokatskik.

Since the entire body of lawyers chooses to acquit and reject 27 well-documented complaints, where Lundgren's Partner Dan Terkildsen does not answer a single one of 26 calls, I have no doubt that this is covert corruption to cover up the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers, as well as it is Jyske Bank that has bribed Lundgren's lawyers.

I have emphasized in the complaint that at least these members and employees of Lundgren's lawyers have worked together against the client.

Starting with Dan Terkildsen. Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen, Emil Hald Windstrøm, Pernille Hellesøe, Jens Grunnet-Nielsson, Mette Marie Nielsen.

Which has been presented to Lundgren's management and director, who cannot contradict my accusations, and who therefore have the choice to block my email at the last minute, since the state and authorities together with members of the court are all covering up the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers.


If I'm wrong, meet me and let's review my evidence and tell me why since October 2019 you have not dared to answer me in my accusations against Lundgrens for being a corrupt law firm.




These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures




Partner of the corrupt Lundgren's lawyer partner company, warning.



Jens Grunnet-Nilsson. Mette Marie Nielsen. Emil Hald Wistrøm. Pernille Hellesøe.
foto Lundgrens. foto Lundgrens. foto Lundgrens. foto Lundgrens.



Sebastian Lysholm Nielsen. **Dan Terkildsen.**
foto Lundgrens. foto Lundgrens.



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures



The Bar Council

The Bar Council an independent complaints board that deals with complaints about lawyers.

The board's decisions cannot be appealed to another administrative authority. The Ministry of Justice therefore does not have the opportunity to process complaints about the Bar Council's decisions or about the board's case processing.

In this way, the Ministry of Justice has given the bar association the right to act and work for corruption among Danish lawyers.

You are welcome to share this information.



Jens Steen Jensen from Kromann Reumert lawyers, Birgitte Frølund from Horten lawyers, Kurt Rasmussen from the Supreme Court, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård from the consumer complaints board and Henrik Hyltoft from the Organization Dansk Erhverv, a decision that Martin Lavesen from DLA Piper lawyers agree with, that.

- 1. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that it is the lawyers alone who decide what a client may be presented with in terms of allegations and pleas.**
- 2. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that it is the lawyers alone who decide which evidence a client may have presented for the client's claims and pleas.**
- 3. It is not a breach of good legal practice: for the lawyer to change the client's claim, if a client claims something that is untrue, false or invalid, then the lawyer is free to change the client's claim to the opposite.**
- 4. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not respond to the client's inquiries.**
- 5. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share the plaintiff's pleadings with the client.**

6. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share the defendant's pleadings with the client.
7. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not share all court records with the client.
8. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that lawyers do not give the client a copy of all court records, even if the client requests the lawyer to do so.
9. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that lawyers do not give the client a copy of all court records, even if the client requests both the lawyer and the court to do so. "Which happened by requesting the court for access to documents in his case against Jyske Bank A/S as Lundgren's lawyers would not hand over to the client a copy of all court records and pleadings."
10. It is not a breach of good legal practice: that even if lawyers have confirmed orally, "or on the record" to their clients, that these lawyers do not present anything to the court without the client's complete agreement with the lawyer, lawyers may subsequently present allegations, which is not comparable to the client's claims.
11. It is not a breach of good legal practice: For lawyers to change the client's pleadings, even if the client has written to the lawyer, you must not present anything to the court without my "client" having approved it.
12. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers change the client's pleadings, even without informing the client. In other words, lawyers do not have to share anything that the lawyer presents.
13. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers call witnesses other than those the client has said, even without informing the client about it.
14. It is not a breach of good legal practice: for lawyers to remove the client's witnesses, even without informing the client.
15. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers make a mess of spelling when presenting annexes.
16. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers mislead the client.
17. It is not a violation of good legal practice: For lawyers to write services on a client, such as taxi bills on a client, even if it does not concern the client.
18. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not answer the client's questions concerning questions about whether other lawyers from the same law office may have worked for the same company against which the client's lawyer is employed to present a fraudulent and false case.
19. It is not a violation of good legal practice: that lawyers do not answer their clients' questions, and that the lawyer then takes a fee / payment for not answering what their clients ask.
20. It is not a breach of good legal practice: For lawyers to hide from their clients that the court has written to the lawyer, the court will disregard the client's own written testimony, with the client's claims and annexes that support the client's explanation, which the client himself sent to the court, after the lawyer himself forgot to present the client's claims.

- 21. It is not a violation of good legal practice: It is a criminal offense for a lawyer to encourage a client to continue to carry out actions that the opposing party's lawyer in a case has written to the client's lawyer.
- 22. It is not a violation of good legal practice: For a lawyer to withhold letters from the client in which the client is accused of committing a criminal offense, since lawyers do not need to inform the client that the client is accused of violating the criminal law.
- 23. It is not a breach of good legal practice: breaking confidentiality in legal matters, not hiding email addresses that have been sent to the BBC. and which the client wants hidden.
- 24. It is not a breach of good legal practice: Lawyers are welcome to take payment for tasks that have not been carried out.

28-01-2023 21.33. Gmail - JYSKE BANK and The Danish State. Now solve your problems internally before I have to go to the state for accomplices, in which case they will not participate in my investigation.



Try to give me the answer yourself, the answer that you think, is the best answer in a rule of law, that is not ruled by corrupt lawyers, corruption among the judge and authorities

Your answer to the question will be used in a study about the Danes' legal certainty, when the state itself covers up criminal Danish organizations, or criminal large Danish companies.

You can send answers to banknyt@gmail.com

Depending on what Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard. answer on the questions, about what they think does not violate human rights in Denmark.

Then these questions can of course be shared on social media such as Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and such places.

Participate in the investigation of what you believe is the biggest threat to the legal security of an entire Danish country.

When the state and the authorities have responded the question, we will know whether the Danish government and the state are still covering up Danish Banks fraud against their customers, or not.

And in that case, if some Danish authorities do not want to answer, their non-appearance is merely an underlining, that they are complicit in the organized crime that Jyske Bank group with leaders as CEO Anders Christian Dam is behind.



28 January 2023. time PM 5.32. Update. This can be solved by dialogue, you should try. - Can Jyske bank lose the right to operate a bank, after the management of CEO Anders Christian Dam has refused to stop the bank's fraud against customers, and because Jyske Bank subsequently chose to use bribes from Lundgren's lawyers, the law firm that was supposed to help the client present Jyske Bank's use of fraud, forgery, bad faith, power of attorney abuse, exploitation and much more, the question is whether parliament is even willing to launch an inquiry or the government will simply cover up this organized fraud.

I have attached some of the latest e-mails that have been sent to the Parliament, the courts, the prosecution and Jyske Bank itself.

I am still trying to get a meeting and conversation with the Parliament and Jyske Bank about what I am writing.



Which is why I request that Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen, Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard and Jyske Bank's director Anders Christian Dam call me on.

+4522227713. So that we can talk together.

As I have said and written repeatedly, I want to meet with the Danish elite, simply to investigate. Whether the Danish State and Jyske Bank's management want me to continue writing, just as I have done since 2016. Or if you want that this writing is enough, and if you may want to talk to me, as I want to talk with you all.

In that case, neither the Danish authorities nor anyone in the Parliament have still wants to answer anything, you just have to answer that the Danish state does not want to answer, or otherwise wants to joke or have a dialogue about some of the problems of the rule of law in Denmark, which I refer to, and say is undermining a rule of law.

If you have a suggestion for how, in addition to writing, I can get in touch and dialogue with the Danish State, regarding my wishes for a better society without cronyism, corruption and abuse of power ruling Denmark, then this is a call for help to


stop the corrupt lawyers and the judges who cover up corruption and organized crime in Denmark.

**Regards.
Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup
Soevej 5.
3100. Hornbaek.
Denmark.**



[Try reading this email 28 January 2023 at 17.32](#) , and see how many authorities have knowledge of Jyske Bank's fraud, as well as that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers, note that to this day everyone has stuck their heads in the ground, to cover up Jyske Bank may lose the right to operate a bank in Denmark why Jyske Bank's shares may become worthless

[Here you can see the post 28-01-2023. updates with all images that have been inserted in the mail.](#)



I am currently conducting a closer investigation into the corruption in Denmark.

And if Denmark's Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard, together with the Ministry of Justice, wants to cover up corrupt lawyers, and cover up criminal Danish banks, thereby helping employees of the Bar Council, Denmark's courts, as well as employees of Kromann Reumert, Horten, Lund Elmer Sandager, DLA Piper, Lundgrens, Danish business, with undermining the legal certainty for the Danish population.

But also the foreign companies, that have trade with some of Denmark's largest companies.

I'm doing an investigation about the Danish Ministry of Justice support legal certainty, or whether the Ministry of Justice rather would cover up the organized crime in Denmark, that Jyske Bank A/S is behind, and which involves several helpers, Or whether the Minister of Justice will start working to secure justice, and fight to stop the cronyism "corruption" that has infiltrated several of the Danish authorities, and which is helping to undermine the principles of the rule of law, justice.

I recommend that you seek dialogue rather than war.

A letter that deals with how deeply ingrained corruption is in the Danish State, authorities and large Danish organisations, companies and law firms.

Unless these people and companies all agree with, Jens Steen Jensen, Birgitte Frølund, Kurt Rasmussen, Rikke Skadhauge Seerup Krogsgård, Henrik Hyltoft and Martin Lavesen in that none of these examples are violations of good legal practice.

Help me to get in touch and dialogue so that this can stop, and in a fair way

The Prime Minister's Office.

**The Danish Parliament and the Government
Prince Jørgens Gård 1.
1218 Copenhagen.
Denmark.**

Please present it to Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen.

And to the.

**Ministry of Justice
Slotsholmsgade 10, 1216 Copenhagen.**

I request that you hand the letter over to Head of Department Johan Kristian Legarth, who can familiarize himself with the contents of the letter.

And that the Head of Department then presents the letter to the Minister of Justice, Peter Hummelgaard

[Read here Draft of the letter I am about to write, February 2024.](#)



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood picture.



24 januar 2023.

**The Prime Minister's Office.
The Danish Parliament and the Government
Prins Jørgens Gård 1.
1218 Copenhagen.
Denmark.**

Dear Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen.

As you and the government are aware, I have written continuously to the Prime Minister's office since April 2019, starting with inquiries to Lars Løkke Rasmussen, and then the subsequent Prime Minister, who is you.



I have, of course, noted that neither you, nor your predecessor VENSTRE and Lars Løkke Rasmussen have wanted to answer me on even a single one of my many inquiries

.

14-01-2023. I ask you and the Ministry of Justice as the highest authority to answer 3 questions. My questions are about whether the Danes have some legal certainty or whether Danish economic societal interests are above legal certainty. Please answer.



My book about the criminal Bank, and must warn other nations and those who trade with large Danish companies such as Jyske Bank.

Gratis advokathjælp. / Free legal assistance.

Vi søger personer/virksomheder til gruppesøgsmål, der har været udsat for tvangsauktioner under byretten i Hillerød de sidste tre år med Jyske bank, jyske realkredit som rekvirent. Forløbet behøver ikke være endt med tvangsauktion, men skal inkludere besøg på ejendommen.

We are looking for people/companies for class actions that have been subject to forced auctions under the district court in Hillerød for the last three years with Jyske bank, Jyske realkredit as the applicant. The process does not have to end with a forced auction, but must include a visit to the property.

Henvendelse til ehr@dktc.dk



18-01-2023. You can read my email here, and attached letter to the Ministry of Justice, Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard and to the Ministry of Prime Minister, Statsminister Mette Frederiksen, which is shared with Jyske Bank and their management and legal department.

I have again on 18-01-2023 written an email which is the question to the Ministry of Justice, whether they, or the Prime Minister's Ministry, will contribute to undermining legal certainty.

Just like [the letter here with content, which is partly from the Link](#), which is written to the Minister of Justice *Peter Hummelgaard*, and [Ministry of Justice](#), Denmark's highest authority that is over.

[The police](#) the letter here with content, which is partly from the Link [23-03-2016. part. 1. 23-06-2016. part 2. and 28-06-2016](#), reported Jyske Bank to the police for fraud, which, however, must turn out to be a far more extensive fraud than what I could have imagined in 2016. a fraud which [the police 27-05-2016. writes they would not investigate](#), back then I didn't know that Denmark was ruled by cronyism / corruption, so I choose [28-06-2016. to complain about the lack of investigation to the state attorney](#), with a copy to the public prosecutor's office SAK@ankl.dk which the state attorney at law [25-11-2016](#), again declined to investigate.

The reason for rejecting the investigation was that Jyske bank made an agreement on a loan relationship in 2008, THERE IS JUST ONE PROBLEM. no money was ever lent in 2008 where Jyske Bank 2 interest rate hedges, to cover the interest rate risk on the underlying loan, which contributed to gross fraud, and the one who has worked much the same, to cover up Jyske Bank's million fraud, these are 2 of Jyske Bank's employees [Nicolai Hansen](#) and [Casper Dam Olsen](#) together they have both lied to trick me into thinking that I have borrowed DKK 4,328,000. so that the bank could, in BAD FAITH, take interest on a loan that was never taken home, [that is, by means of abuse of power of attorney and forgery of documents, that part of the fraud was handled by Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen.](#)

Today I know that it is connected in this way, Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen for Jyske Bank A/S started by committing power of attorney abuse, document forgery, abuse of Jyske Bank's access to land registration rights and mandate fraud, and that these criminal matters are carried out in collaboration with Nicolai Hansen, who even on February 2010 chose to take advantage of the notification that the customer had suffered a brain haemorrhage in November 2009.

It is a short time after the customer became seriously ill that Nicolai Hansen deliberately and in bad faith chose to send the sick customer false and incorrect information, such as that the customer had taken out a loan of DKK 4,328,000 and that they then made an interest hedge on DKK 4,328,000 with Jyske Bank.

Jyske Bank can thus raise around DKK 2,500,000 in interest over the next 20 years. it is then Jyske Bank's plan to lie and confuse, as well as lead the customer into confusion, which Nicolai Hansen together with Casper Dam Olsen stands for, so when Casper Dam Olsen together with Nicolai Hansen January 2012, writes that you "the customer" put it underlying loans for interest hedging, then there are 2 employees who have joined together to lie in bad faith, which was again to cover up the offenses that Jeanett Kofoed-Hansen, together with Nicolai Hansen, were behind.

[15 May 2022. Here is some of the evidence that Jyske Bank is lying, with attachments, documentation is registered, and is not finished.](#)

Partly to cover up the fact that Jyske Bank has already started exposing the customer to financial crime on 30-12-2008.

Abuse of power of attorney, mandate fraud, document forgery, and that Jyske Bank has abused the bank's access to land registration rights.

It was easy for a Jyske Bank employee to expose me to fraud, as several of the bank's employees worked together to take advantage of the fact that I was ill after a major brain haemorrhage.

But to fight against criminal Danish banks who even use bribes to keep their victims' cases out of court, and when at the same time there is corruption among Danish judges, who like to manipulate witnesses' explanations out of the case, as they are incriminating for the criminal bank.

And my 4-year struggle to have it presented that Jyske Bank is behind the fraud and that it was carried out in bad faith against first the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers, until I find an honorable lawyer in 2020, and can finally have my case presented.

I have been told from the inside that if the police did not want to investigate Jyske Bank's crime, it was a political decision.

The State's lawyer in 2016 refused to investigate, the police have received several emails which also accuse Jyske Bank A/S of having bribed Lundgren's lawyers, the

National Police says to this bribery case that when payment is made between 2nd private companies, it is not illegal, i.e. in the opinion of the national police, Jyske Bank A/S is happy to pay Lundgren's lawyers to prevent justice for their client, this in that Jyske Bank paid Lundgren's to withhold my fraud case against Jyske Bank, i.e. that Lundgren's lawyers had to ensure that their own client, never presented their fraud case against Jyske Bank to the court.

About legal certainty and corruption in Denmark.

When I write about the basic legal principles according to which the Danish state works, this work seems to be surrounded by cronyism, i.e. corruption, which I will be able to ascertain with my inquiry to the Minister of Justice, if it is cronyism that is taking place, all the way up to the Ministry of Justice and above, which makes the Danish state corrupt.

05-06-2023. The 6 questions for what, according to the Bar Council, is not a breach of good legal practice. they are spread over 6 pages. to Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen and Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard with reference to earlier inquiries.

In my book, I will write that the Ministry of Justice itself contributes to undermining legal certainty for the individual Dane, and that the Ministry of Justice is instrumental in covering up corrupt Danish lawyers and criminal Danish banks, depends entirely on their answers to the 6 questions.

- **If the Ministry of Justice refuses to answer the question whether clients in a law firm have a legal right to be handed over their own and the other party's pleadings in a case.**
- **Or that the Ministry of Justice replies that Minister of Justice Peter Hummelgaard completely agrees with the members of the Bar Council, who come from high-ranking positions in the Supreme Court. / the Danish Court of Justice, Kromann Reumert lawyers, Horten lawyers and Dansk Erhverv, as well as in the Consumer Complaints Board. these employees agree that.**

It is not a violation of good legal practice when a lawyer / law firm withholds pleadings that the client's own lawyer has presented.

Added UPDATED

Nor is it a breach of good legal practice.

.

Kurt Rasmussen, Birgitte Frølund, Jens Steen Jensen, Rikke Skadhaug Seerup Krogsgaard, Henrik Hyltoft and Martin Lavesen.

IT IS NOT AN INFRINGEMENT OF GOOD LAWYER PRACTICE, WHEN A LAWYER HIDES AND WITHHOLDS ONE OR MORE DOCUMENTS FROM

THEIR CLIENTS, WHETHER THEY ARE THE DOCUMENTS OF THE CLIENT'S ATTORNEY, OR OF THE OPPOSING PARTY.

The National Police has approved that the Jyske Bank group must not be allowed to conduct an investigation into the fact that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers not to present my and the client's fraud case against Jyske Bank.

In addition, these authorities are also under the Ministry of Justice, such as

"[PET](#) [Police Intelligence](#)."

[The prosecution](#) There have been several email inquiries about Jyske Bank's fraud and that Jyske Bank has bribed Lundgren's lawyers, as well as the Civil Agency is under the Ministry of Justice and has refused to grant free trial, as the Civil Agency does not believe that this case and story is in the public's interest, which is a way for the state to stop a case against Jyske Bank for organized fraud when the Danish State denies the victim of the bank's financial crime and the authorities ensure that the victim does not get help with investigations, and deny the victim financial help to conduct a civil fraud and false case against Jyske Bank.

Other options under the Ministry of Justice are.

If we are talking about conspiracy theories, as the corrupt Lundgren's lawyers at Dan Terkildsen allege, [see the appendix of the complaint](#).

Or is it a conspiracy of lawyers, judges, the Financial Supervisory Authority and others who are working together to deprive the Danes of their right to self-determination, and who are doing this to cover up some of Denmark's largest criminal enterprises, which are collaboration partners with the Danish state.

In other words, the State's economic interests are above Danish law.



My evidence for this Danish bank's fraud and forgery can close Jyske Bank as a bank in Denmark if the government stops covering up the fraudulent bank.

Regards

Carsten Storbjerg Skaarup

Soevej 5

3100 Hornbaek

Phone

+4522227713

Mail

Banknyt@gmail.com


Carsten.storbjerg@gmail.com



These pictures are not on <http://www.banknyt.dk> and are just mood pictures



Letters and attachments that were delivered April 2019 and sent to the Prime Minister, the State Ministry, the Ministry of Justice, the Minister of Justice, the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority and many more, and not a single one has wanted to answer anything, as the State has great economic interests in Jyske Bank's money machine, than the State have interests in the law being equal for everyone, it turns out that the largest Danish companies are willing to commit fraud, this must apply to all of Denmark's largest companies, [but we are waiting for the Danish Prime Minister to respond and thereby confirm whether the Prime Minister's Office is part of corruption in Denmark.](#)



Copy of <http://www.Bankknyt.dk> to the authorities and Jyske Bank to find out if there is something they do not agree with, and therefore want to correct something or correct for misunderstandings.

Theories of corruption or a conspiracy where the entire Danish state does everything. to protect Danish and criminal banks with which the state itself collaborates.